NOTICE OF INTENT

Department of Environmental Quality
Office of the Secretary
Legal Affairs Division

Revisions to the Toxic Air Pollutant Emission Control Program
(LAC 33:III.5103 and 5109) (AQ316)

Under the authority of the Environmental Quality Act, R.S. 30:2001 et seq., and in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, R.S. 49:950 et seq., the secretary gives notice that rulemaking procedures have been initiated to amend the Air regulations, LAC 33:III.Chapter 51 (AQ316).

This Rule will revise language in LAC 33:III.5103 and 5109, the Comprehensive Toxic Air Pollutant Emission Control Program.

The basis and rationale for this Rule are to comply with Act 103 of the 2010 Regular Session of the Louisiana Legislature. This Rule meets an exception listed in R.S. 30:2019(D)(2) and R.S. 49:953(G)(3); therefore, no report regarding environmental/health benefits and social/economic costs is required.

This Rule has no known impact on family formation, stability, and autonomy as described in R.S. 49:972.

A public hearing will be held on May 24, 2011, at 1:30 p.m. in the Galvez Building, Oliver Pollock Conference Room, 602 N. Fifth Street, Baton Rouge, LA 70802. Interested persons are invited to attend and submit oral comments on the proposed amendments. Should individuals with a disability need an accommodation in order to participate, contact Donald Trahan at the address given below or at (225) 219-3985. Two hours of free parking are allowed in the Galvez Garage with a validated parking ticket.

All interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed regulation. Persons commenting should reference this proposed regulation by AQ316. Such comments must be received no later than May 31, 2011, at 4:30 p.m., and should be sent to Donald Trahan, Attorney Supervisor, Office of the Secretary, Legal Affairs Division, Box 4302, Baton Rouge, LA 70821-4302 or to FAX (225) 219-4068 or by e-mail to donald.trahan@la.gov. Copies of these proposed regulations can be purchased by contacting the DEQ Public Records Center at (225) 219-3168. Check or money order is required in advance for each copy of AQ316. These proposed regulations are available on the Internet at www.deq.louisiana.gov/portal/tabid/1669/default.aspx.

These proposed regulations are available for inspection at the following DEQ office locations from 8 a.m. until 4:30 p.m.: 602 N. Fifth Street, Baton Rouge, LA 70802; 1823 Highway 546, West Monroe, LA 71292; State Office Building, 1525 Fairfield Avenue, Shreveport, LA 71101; 1301 Gadwall Street, Lake Charles, LA 70615; 111 New Center Drive, Lafayette, LA 70508;
110 Barataria Street, Lockport, LA 70374; 201 Evans Road, Bldg. 4, Suite 420, New Orleans, LA 70123.

Herman Robinson, CPM
Executive Counsel
Title 33
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
Part III. Air
Chapter 51. Comprehensive Toxic Air Pollutant Emission Control Program
Subchapter A. Applicability, Definitions, and General Provisions

§5103. Definitions, Units, and Abbreviations
A. The terms in this Subchapter are used as defined in LAC 33:III.111 except for those terms defined herein as follows.

Affected Source—the collection of equipment, activities, or both within a single contiguous area and under common control that is further defined by the applicable standard.

B. – B.4, std. …

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2001 and 2060 et seq.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 17:1204 (December 1991), amended LR 18:1362 (December 1992), LR 23:57 (January 1997), LR 24:1276 (July 1998), amended by the Office of the Secretary, Legal Affairs Division, LR 33:2621 (December 2007), LR 37:***.

§5109. Emission Control and Reduction Requirements and Standards
A. Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) Requirements
1. …

2. Compliance with an applicable federal standard promulgated by the US EPA in 40 CFR Part 61 or Part 63 shall constitute compliance with this Subsection for emissions of toxic air pollutants. The provisions of this Paragraph shall not apply to rules regarding the regulation and control of asbestos promulgated by the department pursuant to R.S. 30:2054.

A.3. – B.1. …

a. Affected sources shall be subject to ambient air standards promulgated pursuant to this Subchapter outside their property boundaries, except that such ambient air standards shall not apply to roads, railroads, or water bodies where activities are transient in nature and long-term exposure to emissions is not reasonably anticipated.

b. Ambient air standards shall not apply to industrial properties adjacent to or impacted by emissions from affected sources, provided the affected source shall demonstrate that worker protection standards enacted pursuant to the federal Occupational Safety and Health Act as permissible exposure limits are not exceeded on the impacted property because of toxic air pollutant emissions from the affected source.

B.2. – D.2. …

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2001 and 2060 et seq.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 17:1204 (December 1991), amended LR 18:1363 (December 1992), LR 19:891 (July 1993), LR 23:59 (January 1997), amended by the Office of the Secretary, Legal Affairs Division, LR 33:2623 (December 2007), LR 37:***.
FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT
FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
LOG #: AQ316

Person Preparing Statement: Gilberto Cuadra
Dept.: Environmental Quality
Phone: (225) 219-3418 Office: Environmental Services
Return Address: 602 North Fifth Street Rule Title: Revisions to the Toxic Air Pollutant Baton Rouge, LA 70802 Emission Control Program (LAC 33:III.5103, and 5109)
Date Rule Takes Effect: Upon promulgation

SUMMARY
(Use complete sentences)

In accordance with Section 953 of Title 49 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes, there is hereby submitted a fiscal and economic impact statement on the rule proposed for adoption, repeal or amendment. THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS SUMMARIZE ATTACHED WORKSHEETS, I THROUGH IV AND WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE LOUISIANA REGISTER WITH THE PROPOSED AGENCY RULE.

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS (Summary)

There will be no significant implementation costs or savings to state or local governmental units as a result of the proposed rule. As a result of Act 103 of the 2010 Regular Legislative Session, the toxic air pollutant emission control program was amended to deal with affected sources, such as refineries or petrochemical plants. The proposed rule changes are as follows: adds the definition of “affected source”; adds Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations Part 61, which reflects National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) which were in effect prior to the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1991; and creates two air standards exemptions for major sources: 1) when emissions standards are exceeded temporarily and unexpectedly on surrounding roads, railroads or water bodies; and 2) when emissions from adjacent industrial facilities exceed the standard as long as the impacted facility or facilities comply with the worker protection standards established by the Occupational Safety and Health Act.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS OF STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS (Summary)

There is no estimated effect on revenue collections of state or local governmental units resulting from the proposed rule.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND/OR ECONOMIC BENEFITS TO DIRECTLY AFFECTED PERSONS OR NON-GOVERNMENTAL GROUPS (Summary)

There will be no significant costs and/or economic benefits to directly affected persons or non-governmental groups as a result of the proposed rule.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT (Summary)
There is no anticipated effect on competition or employment as a result of the proposed rule.
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FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT
FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

The following information is requested in order to assist the Legislative Fiscal Office in its review of the fiscal and economic impact statement and to assist the appropriate legislative oversight subcommittee in its deliberation on the proposed rule.

A. Provide a brief summary of the content of the rule (if proposed for adoption, or repeal) or a brief summary of the change in the rule (if proposed for amendment). Attach a copy of the notice of intent and a copy of the rule proposed for initial adoption or repeal (or, in the case of a rule change, copies of both the current and proposed rules with amended portions indicated).

This rule will revise language in LAC 33:III.Chapter 51, the Comprehensive Toxic Air Pollutant Emission Control Program, in accordance with Act 103 of the 2010 Regular Session of the Louisiana Legislature.

B. Summarize the circumstances which require this action. If the Action is required by federal regulation, attach a copy of the applicable regulation.

This action is necessary because Section 2060 of Title 30 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes was amended by Act 103 of the 2010 Regular Session of the Louisiana Legislature. Section 2060 established the Toxic Air Pollutant Emission Control Program.

C. Compliance with Act 11 of the 1986 First Extraordinary Session
(1) Will the proposed rule change result in any increase in the expenditure of funds? If so, specify amount and source of funding.

The proposed rule change will not result in any increase in the expenditure of funds.

(2) If the answer to (1) above is yes, has the Legislature specifically appropriated the funds necessary for the associated expenditure increase?

(a) ___ Yes. If yes, attach documentation.
(b) ___ No. If no, provide justification as to why this rule change should be published at this time.

This question is not applicable.
FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT
WORKSHEET

I. A. COSTS OR SAVINGS TO STATE AGENCIES RESULTING FROM THE ACTION PROPOSED

1. What is the anticipated increase (decrease) in costs to implement the proposed action?

There is no anticipated increase or decrease in costs to implement the proposed action.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COSTS</th>
<th>FY10-11</th>
<th>FY11-12</th>
<th>FY12-13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERSONAL SERVICES</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPERATING EXPENSES</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROFESSIONAL SERVICES</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER CHARGES</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAJOR REPAIR &amp; CONSTR</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POSITIONS (#)</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Provide a narrative explanation of the costs or savings shown in "A.1.", including the increase or reduction in workload or additional paperwork (number of new forms, additional documentation, etc.) anticipated as a result of the implementation of the proposed action. Describe all data, assumptions, and methods used in calculating these costs.

This statement is not applicable.

3. Sources of funding for implementing the proposed rule or rule change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>FY10-11</th>
<th>FY11-12</th>
<th>FY12-13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STATE GENERAL FUND</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENCY SELF-GENERATED</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEDICATED</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEDERAL FUNDS</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER (Specify)</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does your agency currently have sufficient funds to implement the proposed action? If not, how and when do you anticipate obtaining such funds?

The department has sufficient funds to implement the proposed action.

B. COST OR SAVINGS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS RESULTING FROM THE ACTION PROPOSED.

1. Provide an estimate of the anticipated impact of the proposed action on local governmental units, including adjustments in workload and paperwork requirements. Describe all data, assumptions and methods used in calculating this impact.

No impact on local governmental units is anticipated.

2. Indicate the sources of funding of the local governmental unit which will be affected by these costs or savings.

This statement is not applicable.
FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT
WORKSHEET

II. EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS OF STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS

A. What increase (decrease) in revenues can be anticipated from the proposed action?

No increase or decrease in revenues is anticipated from the proposed action.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUE INCREASE/DECREASE</th>
<th>FY10-11</th>
<th>FY11-12</th>
<th>FY12-13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STATE GENERAL FUND</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENCY SELF-GENERATED</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESTRICTED FUNDS*</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEDERAL FUNDS</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCAL FUNDS</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Specify the particular fund being impacted.

B. Provide a narrative explanation of each increase or decrease in revenues shown in "A." Describe all data, assumptions, and methods used in calculating these increases or decreases.

This statement is not applicable.

III. COSTS AND/OR ECONOMIC BENEFITS TO DIRECTLY AFFECTED PERSONS OR NONGOVERNMENTAL GROUPS

A. What persons or non-governmental groups would be directly affected by the proposed action? For each, provide an estimate and a narrative description of any effect on costs, including workload adjustments and additional paperwork (number of new forms, additional documentation, etc.), they may have to incur as a result of the proposed action.

No persons or non-governmental groups will incur significant costs or realize economic benefits from the proposed action.

B. Also provide an estimate and a narrative description of any impact on receipts and/or income resulting from this rule or rule change to these groups.

There will be no impact on receipts and/or income resulting from the proposed rule.

IV. EFFECTS ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT

Identify and provide estimates of the impact of the proposed action on competition and employment in the public and private sectors. Include a summary of any data, assumptions and methods used in making these estimates.

There will be no impact on competition or employment in the public or private sector as a result of the proposed action.