STATE OF LOUISIANA

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

IN THE MATTER OF:
* Settlement Tracking No.

SA-WE-20-0094

NATIONAL OILWELL VARCO, L.P.

* Enforcement Tracking No.

AI # 14393 * WE-CN-16-00120

*

PROCEEDINGS UNDER THE LOUISIANA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

LA. R.S. 30:2001, <u>ET SEQ.</u>

SETTLEMENT

The following Settlement is hereby agreed to between National Oilwell Varco, L.P. ("Respondent") and the Department of Environmental Quality ("DEQ" or "the Department"), under authority granted by the Louisiana Environmental Quality Act, La. R.S. 30:2001, et seq. ("the Act").

Ι

Respondent is a limited partnership that owns and/or operates a marine equipment fabricating facility located in Covington, St. Tammany Parish, Louisiana ("the Facility").

II

On April 20, 2017, the Department issued to Respondent a Consolidated Compliance Order & Notice of Potential Penalty, Enforcement No. WE-CN-16-00120 (Exhibit 1).

The following violations, although not cited in the foregoing enforcement action, are included within the scope of this settlement:

An inspection conducted on May 22, 2020, revealed that the Respondent reported exceedances of the monthly average permit effluent limitation for total suspended solids (TSS) on Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs). The Respondent reported monthly average results for TSS

for Outfall 101 of 38 mg/L on the February 2017 DMR and 43 mg/L on the May 2017 DMR. The monthly average permit limit for TSS is 30 mg/L. Each exceedance of a permit limitation is a violation of LPDES permit LA0110680 (Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements, page 2 of 2; Narrative Requirements, Condition No. T-2; and Part III, Section A.2), La. R.S. 30:2076(A)(3), and LAC 33:IX.501.A.

III

Respondent denies it committed any violations or that it is liable for any fines, forfeitures and/or penalties.

IV

Nonetheless, Respondent, without making any admission of liability under state or federal statute or regulation, agrees to pay, and the Department agrees to accept, a payment in the amount of TEN THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$10,100.00), of which Eight Hundred Seventy and 42/100 Dollars (\$870.42) represents the Department's enforcement costs, in settlement of the claims set forth in this agreement. The total amount of money expended by Respondent on cash payments to the Department as described above, shall be considered a civil penalty for tax purposes, as required by La. R.S. 30:2050.7(E)(1).

V

Respondent further agrees that the Department may consider the inspection report(s), permit record(s), the Consolidated Compliance Order & Notice of Potential Penalty and this Settlement for the purpose of determining compliance history in connection with any future enforcement or permitting action by the Department against Respondent, and in any such action Respondent shall be estopped from objecting to the above-referenced documents being considered as proving the violations alleged herein for the sole purpose of determining Respondent's compliance history.

This agreement shall be considered a final order of the Secretary for all purposes, including, but not limited to, enforcement under La. R.S. 30:2025(G)(2), and Respondent hereby waives any right to administrative or judicial review of the terms of this agreement, except such review as may be required for interpretation of this agreement in any action by the Department to enforce this agreement.

VII

This settlement is being made in the interest of settling the state's claims and avoiding for both parties the expense and effort involved in litigation or an adjudicatory hearing. In agreeing to the compromise and settlement, the Department considered the factors for issuing civil penalties set forth in La. R. S. 30:2025(E) of the Act.

VIII

As required by law, the Department has submitted this Settlement Agreement to the Louisiana Attorney General for approval or rejection. The Attorney General's concurrence is appended to this Settlement Agreement.

IX

The Respondent has caused a public notice advertisement to be placed in the official journal of the parish governing authority in St. Tammany Parish, Louisiana. The advertisement, in form and wording approved by the Department, announced the availability of this settlement for public view and comment and the opportunity for a public hearing. Respondent has submitted an original proof-of-publication affidavit and an original public notice to the Department and, as of the date this Settlement is executed on behalf of the Department, more than forty-five (45) days have elapsed since publication of the notice.

Payment is to be made within ten (10) days from notice of the Secretary's signature. If payment is not received within that time, this Agreement is voidable at the option of the Department. Payments are to be made by check, payable to the Department of Environmental Quality, and mailed or delivered to the attention of Accountant Administrator, Financial Services Division, Department of Environmental Quality, Post Office Box 4303, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, 70821-4303. Each payment shall be accompanied by a completed Settlement Payment Form (Exhibit A).

XI

In consideration of the above, any claims for penalties are hereby compromised and settled in accordance with the terms of this Settlement.

XII

Each undersigned representative of the parties certifies that he or she is fully authorized to execute this Settlement Agreement on behalf of his or her respective party, and to legally bind such party to its terms and conditions.

NATIONAL OILWELL VARCO, L.P.

Jennier Moyers (Printed)
TITLE: Directer Global Environmental Compliance
THUS DONE AND SIGNED in duplicate original before me this 26th day of April , 20 2 , at Houston TX REBECCA ELENA HERNANDEZ Notary ID #7134255 My Commission Expires November 14, 2021 NOTARY PUBLIC (ID # 7134255)
Rebecca E. Hemanlez (stamped or printed)
BY: LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY Chuck Carr Brown, Ph.D., Secretary Lourdes Iturralde, Assistant Secretary Office of Environmental Compliance
THUS DONE AND SIGNED in duplicate original refore me this day of, 20, at Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
AMBER G. LITCHFIELD Notary Public State of Louisiana Notary ID # 92503 Fast Baton Rouge Parish (Stamped or printed) Lourdes Iturralde, Assistant Secretary

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE

ENFORCEMENT DIVISION POST OFFICE BOX 4312

CONSOLIDATED COMPLIANCE ORDER & NOTICE OF POTENTIAL PENALTY



BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70821-4312

Enforcement Tracking No.	WE-CN-16-00120	Certified Mail No.	7004 2510 0006 3853 7238	
Agency Interest (AI) No.	14393	Contact Name	Richard Ober, Jr.	
Alternate ID No.	LA0110680	Contact Phone No.	(225) 219-3135	
Respondent:	National Oilwell Varco, L.P.	Facility Name:	Covington Facility	
	c/o C T Corporation System	Physical Location:	73765 Penn Mill Road	
	Agent for Service of Process			
	3867 Plaza Tower Dr.	City, State, Zip:	Covington, LA 70435	
	Baton Rouge, LA 70816	Parish:	St. Tammany	

This **CONSOLIDATED COMPLIANCE ORDER & NOTICE OF POTENTIAL PENALTY** is issued by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (the Department), under the authority granted by the Louisiana Environmental Quality Act (the Act), La. R.S. 30:2001, et seq., and particularly by La. R.S. 30:2025(C), 30:2050.2 and 30:2050.3(B).

FINDINGS OF FACT

An authorized representative of the Department inspected the abovementioned facility or conducted a file review of the facility to determine the degree of compliance with regulations promulgated in the Louisiana Administrative Code, Title 33. The State regulatory citations for the violation(s) identified during the inspection and/or file review are indicated below.

The Respondent owns and/or operates a marine equipment fabricating facility located at or near 73765 Penn Mill Road in Covington, St. Tammany Parish, Louisiana. The Respondent was issued LPDES Permit LA0110680 with an effective date of November 1, 2011, and an expiration date of October 31, 2016. The Department received a LPDES permit renewal application on or about April 27, 2016, and LPDES Permit LA0110680 was administratively continued. Under the terms and conditions of LPDES Permit LA0110680, the Respondent is permitted to discharge treated sanitary wastewater into an unnamed ditch, thence to Pruden Creek, thence to the Tchefuncte River, all waters of the state.

	troes remit bottooo, the respondences permitted to distrible treated sanitary wastewater into an unhanned ditch, therete				
	to Pruden Creek, thence to the Tchefuncte River, all waters of the state.				
	Date of Violation	Description of Violation			
II.	Inspection(s) 11/24/2015 12/9/2015	The Respondent falled to implement the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). Specifically, the SWPPP required the completion of quarterly checklists for the facility. The checklists were not completed from the third quarter of 2013 through the third quarter 2015. (LPDES permit LA0110680 (Narrative Requirement, Condition No. T-8 and Part III, Section A.2), La. R.S. 30:2076(A)(3), and LAC 33:IX.2701.A)			
148.	Inspection(s) 11/24/2015 12/9/2015	The Respondent failed to implement the Spill Prevention and Control (SPC) plan. Specifically, there were no training records and inspection checklists, as required by the plan, completed during the period of 2012 through 2014. (LAC 33:IX.905 and La. R.S. 30:2076(A)(3))			
IV.	Inspection(s) 11/24/2015 12/9/2015	The Respondent failed to sample the effluent from Outfall 101 on a monthly basis as required by LPDES permit LA0110680. Specifically, according to the DMR, during the period of April 2013 – June 2013, the effluent was only sampled twice for biochemical oxygen demand (BOD _s), fecal coliform, pH, and total suspended solids (TSS). (LPDES permit LA0110680 (Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements, pages 1 and 2 of 2 and Part III, Section A.2), La. R.S. 30:2076(A)(3), and LAC 33:IX.501.A)			
v.	inspection(s) 11/24/2015 12/9/2015	The Respondent failed to report the flow of the discharge. Specifically, flow was not reported on DMRs during calendar years 2012 and 2013 and on the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) for January 2014. Additionally, the Respondent failed to report the monthly average BOD ₅ results for the months during the period of January 2012 – June 2012 and October 2012 – June 2013. The monthly average was not reported on DMRs for fecal coliform for the months during the period of April 2012 – June 2012, October 2012 – December 2012 and April 2013 – June 2013. The monthly average was not reported on DMRs for TSS for the months of October 2012 – December 2012. (LPDES permit LA0110680 (Part III, Sections A.2 and D.4), La. R.S. 30:2076(A)(3), and LAC 33:IX.2701.L.4)			
VI.	inspection(s) 11/24/2015 12/9/2015 File Review 3/27/2016	The Respondent failed to comply with LPDES permit LA0110680. Specifically, the Respondent reported exceedances of permit effluent limitations for BOD ₅ , fecal coliform, and TSS. <i>See Attachment 1</i> (LPDES permit LA0110680 (Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements, pages 1 and 2 of 2; Narrative Requirements, Condition No. T-2; and Part III, Section A.2), La. R.S. 30:2076(A)(3), and LAC 33:IX.501.A)			
VII.	Inspection(s) 11/24/2015 12/9/2015 File Review 3/27/2016	The Respondent failed to comply with LPDES permit LA0110680. Specifically, the Respondent failed to submit monthly DMRs. For the period of January 2012 through June 2013, the Respondent submitted quarterly DMRs instead of the required monthly DMRs. For the period of July 2013 – September 2013, no DMRs were submitted. Beginning in the month of October 2013, the Respondent submitted monthly DMRs. (LPDES permit LA0110680 (Submittal Action Requirements, Condition No. S-1 and Part III, Section A.2), La. R.S. 30:2076(A)(3), and LAC 33:IX.2701.L.4)			
VIII.	Inspection(s) 11/24/2015 12/9/2015 File Review 3/27/2016	The Respondent failed to comply with LPDES permit LA0110680. Specifically, the Respondent failed to report all monitoring results on DMRs for the period of January 2012 through June 2013. LPDES permit LA0110680 requires monthly monitoring for each parameter with the results reported on a monthly DMR However, the Respondent was monitoring once per month with the results being reported on one quarterly DMR. Therefore, all monitoring results were not reported as required. Beginning in the month of October 2013, monitoring results were reported on a monthly DMR. (LPDES permit LA0110680 (Part III Sections A.2 and D.4), La. R.S. 30:2076(A)(3), and LAC 33:IX.2701.L.4)			
IX.	Inspection(s) 11/24/2015 12/9/2015	The Respondent failed to comply with LPDES permit LA0110680. Specifically, the DMRs submitted during the time period of April 2012 – December 2012 were submitted without a signature and date. (LPDES permit LA0110680 (Narrative Requirements, Condition No. T-1 and Part III, Section A.2), La. R.S 30:2076(A)(3), and LAC 33:IX.2701.K.1)			

CONOPP FORM 1 EXHIBIT

TERRING.	Simple Document 10505710, 1 age 2 01 7	The state of the s			
	What it is a second of the property of the second of the s	ORDER:			
Based	on the foregoing, the Respondent is hereby ordered to cor				
i.	To take, immediately upon receipt of this COMPLIANCE ORDER , any and all steps necessary to meet and maintain compliant with the Water Quality Regulations. This shall include, but not be limited to ; correcting <u>all</u> of the violations described in the "Findings of Fact" portion.				
II.	To submit to the Enforcement Division, within thirty (30) days after receipt of this COMPLIANCE ORDER, a written report that includes a detailed description of the circumstances surrounding the cited violation(s) and actions taken or to be taken to achieve compliance with the "Order" portion of this COMPLIANCE ORDER. This report and all other reports or information required to be submitted to the Enforcement Division by this COMPLIANCE ORDER shall be submitted to the Department at the address specified in this document.				
	RIGHT	TTO APPEAL			
1.	The Respondent has a right to an adjudicatory hearing on a disputed issue of material fact or of law arising from this COMPLIANC ORDER. This right may be exercised by filing a written request with the Secretary no later than thirty (30) days after receipt of thi COMPLIANCE ORDER.				
II.	The request for an adjudicatory hearing shall specify the pand shall briefly describe the basis for the request. This re	provisions of the COMPLIANCE ORDER on which the hearing is requested request should reference the Enforcement Tracking Number and Agency and corner of the first page of this document and should be directed to			
III.	Upon the Respondent's timely filing a request for a hearing, a hearing on the disputed issue of material fact or of law regarding this COMPLIANCE ORDER may be scheduled by the Secretary of the Department. The hearing shall be governed by the Act, the Administrative Procedure Act (La. R.S. 49:950, et seq.). The Department may amend or supplement this COMPLIANCE ORDER prior to the hearing, after providing sufficient notice and an opportunity for the preparation of a defense for the hearing.				
IV.		ent action unless the request for hearing is timely filed. Failure to timely t's right to a hearing on a disputed issue of material fact or of law under erein.			
ν.	The Respondent's failure to request a hearing or to file an appeal or the Respondent's withdrawal of a request for hearing on this COMPLIANCE ORDER shall not preclude the Respondent from contesting the findings of facts in any subsequent penalty action addressing the same violation(s), although the Respondent is estopped from objecting to this COMPLIANCE ORDER becoming a permanent part of its compliance history.				
VI.	Civil penalties of not more than thirty-two thousand five hundred dollars (\$32,500) may be assessed for each day of violation. The Respondent's failure or refusal to comply with this COMPLIANCE ORDER and the provisions herein will subject the Respondent to possible enforcement procedures under La. R.S. 30:2025, which could result in the assessment of a civil penalty in an amount of not more than fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) for each day of continued violation or noncompliance.				
VII.	nothing herein shall be construed to preclude the right to				
		OTENTIAL PENALTY			
1,		ed that the issuance of a penalty assessment is being considered for the penalty filed regarding the violation(s) and the contemplated penalty. If you bmitted within ten (10) days of receipt of this notice.			
11.	Prior to the issuance of additional appropriate enforcement any mitigating circumstances concerning the violation(s).	ent action(s), you may request a meeting with the Department to present. If you would like to have such a meeting, please contact Richard Ober,			
ESI.	Jr. at (225) 219-3135 within ten (10) days of receipt of this NOTICE OF POTENTIAL PENALTY. The Department is required by La. R.S. 30:2025(E)(3)(a) to consider the gross revenues of the Respondent and the monetary benefits of noncompliance to determine whether a penalty will be assessed and the amount of such penalty. Please forward the Respondent's most current annual gross revenue statement along with a statement of the monetary benefits of noncompliance for the cited violation(s) to the above named contact person within ten (10) days of receipt of this NOTICE OF POTENTIAL PENALTY. Include with your statement of monetary benefits the method(s) you utilized to arrive at the sum. If you assert that no monetary benefits have been gained, you are to fully justify that statement.				
IV. This CONSOLIDATED COMPLIANCE ORDER & NOTICE OF POTENTIAL PENALTY is effective upon receipt.					
	CONTACTS AND SUB	BMITTAL OF INFORMATION			
	ement Division:	Hearing Requests:			
	ana Department of Environmental Quality of Environmental Compliance	Department of Environmental Quality Office of the Secretary			
Wate	r Enforcement Division	Post Office Box 4302			
Post Office Box 4312 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70821-4302 Baton Rouge, LA 70821 Attn: Hearings Clerk, Legal Division					
	Richard Ober, Jr.	Re: Enforcement Tracking No. WE-CN-16-00120 Agency Interest No. 14393			
Permi	t Division (if necessary):	Physical Address (if hand delivered):			
Department of Environmental Quality					
	e of Environmental Services Office Box 4313	Department of Environmental Quality 602 N Fifth Street			
Bato	n Rouge, LA 70821-4313 : Water Permits Division	Baton Rouge, LA 70802			

HOW TO REQUEST CLOSURE OF THIS CONSOLIDATED COMPLIANCE ORDER & NOTICE OF POTENTIAL PENALTY

- To appeal the CONSOLIDATED COMPLIANCE ORDER AND NOTICE OF POTENTIAL PENALTY, the Respondent must follow the
 guidelines set forth in the "Right to Appeal" portion of this CONSOLIDATED COMPLIANCE ORDER AND NOTICE OF POTENTIAL
 PENALTY.
- To request closure of the COMPLIANCE ORDER portion, the Respondent must demonstrate compliance with the "Order" portion of
 this COMPLIANCE ORDER by completing the attached "CONSOLIDATED COMPLIANCE ORDER AND NOTICE OF POTENTIAL PENALTY
 REQUEST TO CLOSE" form and returning it to the address specified.
 - The COMPLIANCE ORDER will not be closed if the Respondent owes outstanding fees or penalties to the Department.
 Please contact the Financial Services Division at 225-219-3865 or email them at _DEQ-WWWFinancialServices@la.gov to determine if you owe outstanding fees.
- To expedite closure of the NOTICE OF POTENTIAL PENALTY portion, the Respondent may offer a settlement amount to resolve any claim for civil penalties for the violation(s) described herein.
 - o The Department assesses civil penalties based on LAC 33:1.Subpart1.Chapter7.
 - o The Respondent may offer a settlement amount but the Department is under no obligation to enter into settlement negotiations. It is decided upon on a discretionary basis.
 - The settlement offer amount may be entered on the attached "CONSOLIDATED COMPLIANCE ORDER AND NOTICE OF POTENTIAL PENALTY REQUEST TO CLOSE" form. The Respondent must include a justification of the offer.
 - DO_NOT submit payment of the offer amount with the form. The Department will review the settlement offer and notify the Respondent as to whether the offer is or is not accepted.
 - The NOTICE OF POTENTIAL PENALTY will not be closed if the Respondent owes outstanding fees to the Department. Please contact the Financial Services Division at 225-219-3865 or email them at _DEQ-WWWFinancialServices@la.gov to determine if you owe outstanding fees.

If you have questions or need more information, you may contact Richard Ober, Jr. at (225) 219-3135 or richard.ober@ia.gov.

Lourdes Iturralde Assistant Secretary

Office of Environmental Compliance

cc: Kyle Neal, HSE Supervisor Covington Facility National Oilwell Varco, L.P. 73765 Penn Mill Road Covington, LA 70435

Attachment(s)

- Request to Close
- Attachment 1
- Settlement Brochure

Baton Rouge, LA 70821 Attn: Richard Ober, Jr.

EQ-EDMS Document 10	585916, Page 4 of 7				
LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF	Committee of the commit				
OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL	COMPLIANCE COMSOLIDATED COM	IDLIANCE ODDED 9			
ENFORCEMENT DIVISION					
POST OFFICE BOX 4312	NOTICE OF POTE	Wild Address Health College Service		DEC	
BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA				COOISIANA	
Enforcement Tracking No.	WE-CN-16-00120	Contact Name	Richard Ober, .		
Agency Interest (AI) No.	14393	Contact Phone No.	(225) 219-3135		
Alternate ID No.	LA0110680				
Respondent:	National Oilwell Varco, L.P.	Facility Name:	Covington Faci		
	c/o C T Corporation System	Physical Location:	73765 Penn M	ill Road	
	Agent for Service of Process				
	3867 Plaza Tower Dr.	City, State, Zip:	Covington, LA	70435	
A TO THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	Baton Rouge, LA 70816	Parish:	St. Tammany	the case of the part of the party of the par	
	STATEME	NT OF COMPLIANCE			
	STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE		Date Complete	ed Copy Attached?	
	ted in accordance with Paragraph	n II of the "Order" portion of			
the COMPLIANCE ORDER.				Children and a construction	
	act" portion of the COMPLIANCE (
	to meet and maintain the requiren	endidade en			
of the COMPLIANCE ORDER.	Final compliance was achieved as	de la companya del companya del companya de la comp			
	SETTLEMEN	IT OFFER (OPTIONAL)			
	(check th	he applicable option)			
The Respondent is n	not interested in entering into sett	lement negotiations with the	Department with t	he understanding that the	
Department has the	right to assess civil penalties base	ed on LAC 33:1.Subpart1.Chapt	er7.		
In order to resolve	any claim for civil penalties for t	he violations in NOTICE OF	POTENTIAL PENALT	Y (WE-CN-16-00120), the	
In order to resolve any claim for civil penalties for the violations in NOTICE OF POTENTIAL PENALTY (WE-CN-16-00120), the Respondent is interested in entering into settlement negotiations with the Department and would like to set up a meeting to discuss settlement procedures.					
In order to resolve	any claim for civil penalties for t	he violations in NOTICE OF	POTENTIAL PENALT	Y (WE-CN-16-00120), the	
	terested in entering into se				
\$		enforcement costs and any mo			
Monetary cor		\$	•		
1	vironmental Project (BEP)compone	ent (optional)= \$	-		
	MIT PAYMENT OF THE OFFER WIT dent as to whether the offer is or i		nt will review the s	ettlement offer and notify	
	s reviewed the violations noted in		IALTY (WE-CN-16-0	0120) and has attached a	
justification of its of	fer and a description of any BEPs in	f included in settlement offer	THOSE CONTRACTOR	toman ketaina Jidaket'' (hawaran	
	CERTIFIC	ATION STATEMENT.			
I certify, under provisions in	n Louisiana and United States la	aw that provide criminal pe	nalties for false st	atements, that based on	
Information and belief form	ned after reasonable inquiry, the	e statements and information	on attached and ti	he compliance statement	
	id complete. I also certify that I do				
or any other facility I own	or operate. I further certify the	at I am either the Respond	ent or an authoriz	ed representative of the	
Respondent.	······································				
Barranda de Clara	A Passanda	natic Driver of Marco	Posses	ndont's Title	
Respondent's Signa	ture Responde	ent's Printed Name	кеѕро	ndent's Title	
	Name of the South				
Respond	dent's Physical Address	Responder	nt's Phone #	Date	
	MAIL COMPLETED DOC	UMENT TO THE ADDRES	S BELOW:		
Louisiana Department of Envi	ironmental Quality				
Office of Environmental Com	pliance		IK.		
Enforcement Division			*		
Post Office Box 4312					

If you have questions or need more information, you may contact Richard Ober, Jr. at (225) 219-3135 or richard.ober@la.gov.

Attachment 1

Effluent Exceedances

Date	Outfall	Parameter	Permit Limit	Sample Value
		Fecal Coliform (daily maximum)	400 colonies/100 ml	>60,000 colonies/100 ml
1/1/2012 -		TSS (monthly average)	30 mg/l	59 mg/l
3/31/2012	101	TSS (daily maximum)	45 mg/l	116 mg/l
4/1/2012 -		TSS (monthly average)	30 mg/l	72 mg/l
6/30/2012	101	TSS (daily maximum)	45 mg/l	138 mg/l
7/1/2012 -		TSS (monthly average)	30 mg/l	48 mg/l
9/30/2012	101	TSS (daily maximum)	45 mg/l	78 mg/l
10/1/2012 -		TSS (daily maximum)	45 mg/l	52 mg/l
12/31/2012	101		ļ	
		Fecal Coliform (monthly average)	200 colonies/100 ml	620 colonies/100 ml
		Fecal Coliform (daily maximum)	400 colonies/100 ml	8,500 colonies/100 ml
		Fecal Coliform (daily maximum)	400 colonies/100 ml	2,800 colonies/100 ml
1/1/2013 -		TSS (monthly average)	30 mg/l	45 mg/l
3/31/2013	101	TSS (daily maximum)	45 mg/l	50 mg/l
4/1/2013-		TSS (monthly average)	30 mg/l	51mg/l
6/30/2013	101	TSS (daily maximum)	45 mg/l	72 mg/l
		TSS (monthly average)	30 mg/l	39 mg/l
10/2013	1.01	TSS (daily maximum)	45 mg/l	39 mg/l
		BOD _s (monthly average)	30 mg/l	74 mg/i
		BOD ₅ (daily maximum)	45 mg/l	74 mg/l
		Fecal Coliform (monthly average)	200 colonies/100 ml	23,000 colonies/100 ml
		Fecal Coliform (daily maximum)	400 colonies/100 ml	23,000 colonies/100 ml
		TSS (monthly average)	30 mg/1	200 mg/i
11/2013	101	TSS (daily maximum)	45 mg/l	200 mg/l
	1	TSS (monthly average)	30 mg/l	55 mg/l
12/2013	101	TSS (daily maximum)	45 mg/l	55 mg/l
12/2015	101	TSS (daily maximum)	45 mg/l	67 mg/l
	101	TSS (monthly average)	30 mg/l	52 mg/l
4/2016	101	TSS (daily maximum)	45 mg/l	52 mg/l
.,2020	101	TSS (monthly average)	30 mg/i	56 mg/l
5/2016	101	TSS (daily maximum)	45 mg/l	56 mg/l
7/2016	101	TSS (monthly average)	30 mg/l	44 mg/l
1/2010	101	TSS (monthly average)	30 mg/l	76 mg/l
		TSS (daily maximum)	45 mg/l	76 mg/l
8 (2016	101	TSS (daily maximum)	45 mg/l	
8/2016	1.01			78 mg/l
		TSS (monthly average)	30 mg/l	74 mg/l
9/2016	101	TSS (daily maximum)	45 mg/l	74 mg/l
10/2016	101	TSS (monthly average)	30 mg/i	36 mg/i



WHAT IS A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT?

Once the Department has determined that a penalty is warranted for a violation, the Assistant Secretary of the Department, with the concurrence of the Attorney General, may enter into a settlement agreement with the Respondent as a means to resolve the Department's claim for a penalty.

HOW DOES THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT PROCESS WORK?

To begin the settlement agreement process, the Department must receive a written settlement offer. Once this offer is submitted, it is sent for approval by the Assistant Secretary of the Office of Environmental Compliance. The formal Settlement Agreement is drafted and sent to the Attorney General's office where the Attorney General has a 90 day concurrence period. During this time, the Respondent is required to run a public notice in an official journal and/or newspaper of general circulation in each affected parish. After which, a 45 day public comment period is opened to allow the public to submit comments. Once the Department has received concurrence, the settlement agreement is signed by both parties. The Department then forwards a letter to the responsible party to establish a payment plan and/or beneficial environmental project (BEP).

WHAT SHOULD I INCLUDE IN A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT?

The Department uses the penalty determination method defined in LAC 33:1.705 as a guideline to accepting settlement offers. The penalty matrix is used to determine a penalty range for each violation based on the two violation specific factors, the nature and gravity of the violation and the degree of risk/impact to human health and property.

	NATU	RE AND GRAVIT	TY OF THE VIOLATIC	N
		MAJOR	MODERATE	MINOR
HOR	MAJOR	\$32,500 to \$20,000	\$20,000 to \$15,000	\$15,000 to \$11,000
DEGREE OF RISK OR TO HUMAN HEALT PROPERTY	MODERATE	\$11,000 to \$8,000	\$8,000 to \$5,000	\$5,000 to \$3,000
	MINOR	\$3,000 to \$1,500	\$1,500 to \$500	\$500 to \$100

Degree of Risk to Human Health or Property

Major: (actual measurable harm or substantial risk of harm) A violation of major impact to an environmental resource or a hazard characterized by high volume and/or frequent occurrence and/or high pollutant concentration.

Moderate: (potential for measurable detrimental impact) A violation of moderate impact and hazard may be one characterized by occasional occurrence and/or pollutant concentration that may be expected to have a detrimental effect under certain conditions

Minor: (no harm or risk of harm) A violation of minor impact are isolated single incidences and that cause no measurable detrimental effect or are administrative in nature.

Nature and Gravity of the Violation

Major: Violations of statutes, regulations, orders, permit limits, or permit requirements that result in negating the intent of the requirement to such an extent that little or no implementation of requirements occurred.

Moderate: Violations that result in substantially negating the intent of the requirements, but some implementation of the requirements occurred. Minor: Violations that result in some deviation from the intent of the requirement; however, substantial implementation is demonstrated.

The range is adjusted using the following violator specific factors:

- 1. history of previous violations or repeated noncompliance;
- 2. gross revenues generated by the respondent;
- 3. degree of culpability, recalcitrance, defiance, or indifference to regulations or orders;
- 4. whether the Respondent has failed to mitigate or to make a reasonable attempt to mitigate the damages caused by the violation; and
- whether the violation and the surrounding circumstances were immediately reported to the department, and whether the violation was concealed or there was an attempt to conceal by the Respondent.



SEITLEMENT / AGREEMENS

Given the previous information, the following formula is used to obtain a penalty amount.

Penalty Event Total = Penalty Event Minimum + (Adjustment Percentage x [Penalty Event Maximum - Penalty Event Minimum])

After this, the Department adds any monetary benefit of noncompliance to the penalty event. In the event that a monetary benefit is gained due to the delay of a cost that is ultimately paid, the Department adds the applicable judicial interest. Finally, the Department adds all response costs including, but not limited to, the cost of conducting inspections, and the staff time devoted to the preparation of reports and issuing enforcement actions.

WHAT IS A BEP?

A BEP is a project that provides for environmental mitigation which the respondent is not otherwise legally required to perform, but which the defendant/respondent agrees to undertake as a component of the settlement agreement.

Project categories for BEPs include public health, pollution prevention, pollution reduction, environmental restoration and protection, assessments and audits, environmental compliance promotion, and emergency planning, preparedness and response. Other projects may be considered if the Department determines that these projects have environmental merit and is otherwise fully consistent with the intent of the BEP regulations.

WHAT HAPPENS IF MY OFFER IS REJECTED?

If an offer is rejected by the Assistant Secretary, the Legal Division will contact the responsible party, or anyone designated as an appropriate contact in the settlement offer, to discuss any discrepancies.

WHERE CAN I FIND EXAMPLES AND MORE INFORMATION?

Settlement Offers	searchable in EDMS using the following filters
Settlement Agreements	Media: Air Quality Function: Enforcement: Description: Cautament
Penalty Determination Method Beneficial Environmental Projects	specific examples can be provided upon request LAC 33:1 Chapter 7
Judicial Interest	FAOs

