

# CLEANWATER state revolving fund intended use plan sfy 2015

602 NORTH FIFTH STREET • BATON ROUGE, LA 70802

www.deq.louisiana.gov/cwsrf

# **Table of Contents**

I.	Introduction
II.	State Fiscal Year 2015 Project Funding4
III.	Short and Long Term Goals
IV.	Allocation of Funds7
V.	Financial Management10
VI.	Program Management
VII.	Public Review and Comment14
VIII	Exhibits and Attachments15
E	XHIBIT 1: SFY 2015 Project Priority List16
E	XHIBIT 2: Administrative Expenses – Staffing List & Budget19
E	XHIBIT 3: Payment Schedule & Binding Commitment Requirements
E	XHIBIT 4: Estimated Uses of Funds26
E	XHIBIT 5: Loans Closed29
A	TTACHMENT 1: Project Priority System34
A	TTACHMENT 2: Public Notice44

Issued: April 30, 2015

Amended: September 18, 2015

# I. Introduction

The Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality's (LDEQ) Intended Use Plan (IUP) for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) is prepared in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Clean Water Act of 1987, the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015 Appropriations Act. This IUP is a required part of the process to request the Capitalization Grants, which will be matched with 20 percent in state matching funds. The FFY2015 grant allotment is \$15,435,000 requiring \$3,087,000 in state matching funds. This IUP describes LDEQ's intended uses for all funds available in the CWSRF program for State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2015, including the projects that LDEQ expects to provide financial assistance to in SFY 2015 and an overview of how the state will comply with federally mandated requirements.

The CWSRF program was established pursuant to Title VI of the Clean Water Act, as amended in 1987 (the Act). The CWSRF program presently operates under R.S. 30:2301-2306 (Act 296 of the 2010 Regular Session of the Louisiana Legislature). These statutes establish a state revolving loan fund capitalized by federal grants (Capitalization Grants for Clean Water State Revolving Funds, CFDA 66.458), by state funds when required or available, and by any other funds generated by the operation of the clean water revolving loan fund. LDEQ is authorized to engage in activities regarding the sums on deposit in, credited to, or to be received by the state revolving loan fund. The Financial Services Division and Business, Community Outreach & Incentives Division within LDEQ are responsible for the operations of the CWSRF program in the State of Louisiana. These divisions within LDEQ provide assistance to municipalities in developing, financing, and implementing wastewater treatment management plans. Engineering oversight, design review, and inspection services as well as environmental assessment services are provided by the Business, Community Outreach and Incentives Division and grant management, program administration, and financial services are provided by the Financial Services Division on eligible wastewater treatment projects. All efforts are directed toward improving water quality by assisting communities in providing wastewater treatment processes that meet established effluent limits and achieve the goals of the Clean Water Act.

Since the program's authorization, LDEQ has awarded over \$1,037,916,890 in assistance to over 119 borrowers in 208 loan agreements, including projects funded by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act to small and large municipalities. In SFY2015, LDEQ expects to fund \$37 million in high-priority water quality projects.

#### How the CWSRF Program Operates

Every year since the inception of the CWSRF program, the federal government has appropriated funds for the CWSRF. These capitalization grants are distributed to states using a formula outlined in the Clean Water Act Amendments of 1988. Since 1989, the LDEQ has received \$439,832,923 in federal capitalization grants. In addition, as required by the legislation, the state of LDEQ has provided matching funds of over \$79,413,233 which is at least 20 percent of the capitalization grants.

## II. State Fiscal Year 2015 Project Funding

LDEQ's FFY2015 capitalization grant is \$15,435,000. Congress has mandated that not less than 10 percent of the FFY2015 grant (at least \$1,543,500) be put towards projects that qualify under the Green Project Reserve. In addition, although the Appropriations Acts no longer mandates subsidy to be given, but states that no more than \$4,630,500 may be given as additional subsidization. LDEQ does not plan to provide additional subsidization in FFY2015. Further, CWSRF projects funded in SFY2015, regardless of funding source, must pay their workers the federal Davis-Bacon wage rates for their job classification, and all iron and steel projects used in a project for the construction, alteration, maintenance or repair of a public water system or treatment work are produced in the United States unless it meets one of the exceptions noted in the Grant Agreement in accordance with the American Iron and Steel requirements. In SFY2015, LDEQ expects to finance at least 4 wastewater and storm water infrastructure and nonpoint source projects for \$37,000,000.

Figure 1 includes LDEQ's project funding list, or fundable list. These are the projects that LDEQ may fund in SFY2015. An expanded Project Priority List can be found in Appendix A. Project ranking criteria can be found in Appendix D.

# Figure 1: List of Projects to be Funded SFY15

Figure 1:	List of Projects to be F	unded, SFY2015			-	-		Additional Subs	sidy		Green Project F	Reserve		-
Rank	Recipient	LPDES Permit number	Category	Project Description	Total Assistance	Interes t Rate	Term	Principal Forgiveness Amount	Sustainability Policy	Disadvantaged Community	Amount	Category2	Business Case Project?	Estimated Binding Commitment date
1	BATON ROUGE	LA0036439	212	North WWTP Renovationsincluding Energy Efficiency and Green Infrastructure	\$ 20,000,000	0.95%	20				\$ 2,000,000	Green Infrastructur e and Energy Efficiency	YES	12/1/2015
2	KENNER	LA0066800	212	Wastewater Collection and Treatment Work	\$15,000,000	0.95%	20							12/1/2015
3	Peal River	LA0075329	212	Addition of aeration basin and chlorine contact chamber at existing WWTP.	\$ 2,000,000	0.95%	20							12/1/2015
5	RAPIDES PARISH SEWER DISTRICT #2	LAG570289	212	Village Green WWTP Replacement	\$ 2,485,000	0.95%	20							12/1/2015
4	RAYNE	LA0039055	212	I/I Correction	\$ 1,825,000	0.95%	20							12/1/2015
6	ST. BERNARD PARISH	LA0040177	212	I/I Correction	\$ 10,000,000	0.95%	20							12/1/2015
					\$ 51,310,000			\$-			\$ 2,000,000			

## III. Short and Long Term Goals

LDEQ has developed short- and long-term goals for its CWSRF program. The short-term goals reflect goals for the SFY 2015.

#### **Short-Term Goals**

- Goal: Fund green infrastructure, water and energy efficiency and environmentally innovative projects in an amount that is at least ten percent of the capitalization grant.
   Congress has mandated that not less than 10 percent of the capitalization grant be allocated towards "Green Project Reserve" projects. LDEQ has identified one project that qualifies for the required green project reserve in the required amount. LDEQ has determined that the East Baton Rouge Parish Energy Efficiency and Green Infrastructure Project will qualify for the Green Project Reserve.
- Goal: Expedite project development and construction by encouraging recipients to begin construction within one year of placement in the Intended Use Plan.
   The LDEQ CWSRF program strives to reduce the time between project application and construction start-up to within one year of the capitalization grant award. The program will also aim to draw the federal capitalization grant funds as quickly as possible.
- **Goal:** *Provide outreach to municipalities across the state of Louisiana.*

Communicate the availability of the CWSRF Program by the LDEQ personnel through outreach to individual municipalities across the state of Louisiana, and participation in conferences or conventions, as well as promotion of the program via the LDEQ website. LDEQ personnel will present CWSRF program information, stressing nonpoint source pollution control and the protection of estuaries at a minimum of two (1) conferences and conventions during the year as well as put on a conference providing the municipalities and their consultants with information regarding our programs along with all of the requirements. The LDEQ will provide marketing materials such as brochures and promotional items. The CWSRF staff will be available to present information about the CWSRF program and obtain contacts for future meetings with potential borrowers. These conference events target local municipalities and local police juries with publically owned wastewater treatment facilities. Additionally, during these conferences and conventions, the CWSRF staff will interact with representatives of the municipalities to discuss their respective needs.

- Goal: Participate in the Louisiana Waste Water Joint Funding Committee (LWWJFC). The mission of the LWWJFC is to assist applicants in securing funding for water and wastewater projects. It is a joint effort between the Louisiana Community Development Block Grants, US Department of Agriculture, Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals (Drinking Water State Revolving Fund), and the LDEQ. The committee members will meet monthly throughout the year to review Intent-to-File applications and will review project information and determine which funding programs may provide assistance to the applicants.
- **Goal:** Close at least 4 loans totaling more than \$37 Million.

- **Goal:** Apply for the FFY 2015 Capitalization Grants in SFY 2015.
- **Goal:** Issue a Revenue Bond to provide matching funds for Federal Capitalization Grants as necessary.

#### **Long-Term Goals**

- Goal: Provide low-cost financing for important water quality projects while maintaining the perpetuity of the CWSRF.
   LDEQ uses a cash flow model to guide decisions on funding levels, interest rates and other financing terms. LDEQ looks to balance the goals of maximizing subsidies while maintaining the fund in perpetuity.
- Goal: Promote and advance wastewater treatment facility improvements in order to meet the requirements of the CWA through compliance assistance.
   Provide financial assistance to municipalities and other eligible recipients in pursuing statewide compliance with Federal and State water quality standards, assist borrowers in complying with the enforceable requirements of the CWA and to reach the goal of eliminating discharge of pollutants that exceed the standards into the State's waters.
- Goal: Expand CWSRF accessibility by creating financial assistance programs that address nonpoint source control and other nontraditional CWSRF projects.
   LDEQ has been successful in bringing important nonpoint source and other nontraditional CWSRF projects to the program. Many of these projects have special challenges in obtaining financing (outside of grants) due to a lack of user revenues. Financing options will be continually evaluated to help ensure that these projects can continue to come to the program for cost-effective financing.

## IV. Allocation of Funds

#### **Criteria and Method for Distribution of Funds**

LDEQ's Project Priority Ranking System is attached in Attachment 1. All projects scheduled for funding with Louisiana's CWSRF have been reviewed for consistency with appropriate plans; and developed and approved under Section 205(j), 208, 212, 303(e), 319 and 320 of the of the Clean Water Act, as amended. Evidence of this review and finding of consistency is documented in each CWSRF project file.

The State's Project Priority List (Exhibit 1) consists of a listing of all projects that have, or are expected to qualify for, and participate in the CWSRF program. These projects are ranked by staff in the Business, Community Outreach and Incentives Division, given a priority rating, and then listed in alphabetical order on the current Project Priority List. Loans are approved from this list on a readiness to proceed basis. Should total actual project needs exceed CWSRF funds available, projects to be funded will be prioritized according to the Priority System. When needed, and with

EPA approval, procedures will be established by the Department to sell bonds to expand the program to meet demand, or develop other procedures that are in the best interest of the Louisiana CWSRF program.

The ranking criteria emphasize high priority water bodies, projects proactively addressing needs, and projects addressing enforcement and compliance issues. In addition, projects receive extra consideration for implementation of green infrastructure, energy efficiency, water efficiency and environmental innovation.

After projects are ranked according to the criteria, a Project Priority List is developed (Appendix A). Projects are further evaluated on their readiness to proceed to a financing agreement and construction; this is based on whether they have completed the environmental review and have obtained the necessary permits. Projects in disadvantaged communities may receive planning and design funding to assist them in planning their projects. Based on the amount available for financing, projects that are ready to proceed are placed on the IUP List of Projects to be Funded (Figure 1).

#### **Bypass Procedures**

LDEQ may bypass projects on the IUP List of Projects to be funded if they are later determined to not be ready to proceed. In those events, other projects from the Project Priority List may move to the funding list. The LDEQ CWSRF also reserves the right to provide funding for only a portion of the total costs of a project or only a portion of the amount requested where the municipality can, based on its ability to pay, obtain other affordable financing for the remainder of the project. A project may be bypassed if a different project should be funded due to an emergency condition that can only be addressed in an immediate time frame. Bypassed projects that retain their priority rating will be subject to the same eligibility and funding considerations from future allotments as other fundable projects. All projects must be on the Project Priority List in order to receive funding. All bypasses will be explained in the Annual Report.

#### Types of Projects to be Funded and Financing Rates

#### Section 212 Projects

Projects identified in this IUP as qualifying for the CWSRF under the Clean Water Act section 212 will have an interest rate of 0.95 percent. If a project or project component qualifies for the Green Project Reserve or meets one or more of the elements in the Sustainability Policy, lower interest rates or additional subsidization in the form of principal forgiveness may apply. The financing term for most projects will be 20 years.

#### Nonpoint Source and Estuary Protection Projects

Nonpoint source activities (not specific projects) funded by the CWSRF must appear in Louisiana's Clean Water Act Section 319 Nonpoint Source Management Plan developed by the LDEQ in order to be financed. Estuary protection activities (not specific projects) funded with the CWSRF must appear in the Barataria-Terrebonne National Estuary Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan

developed by the Barataria-Terrebonne National Estuary Program, and be located in the estuary watershed, in order to be eligible for financing. Nonpoint Source (NPS) and estuary projects will receive an interest rate of 0.95 percent. If a project qualifies under the Green Project Reserve, a lower interest rate or additional subsidization in the form of principal forgiveness may apply. The financing term for most projects will be 20 years.

#### **Green Project Reserve**

Projects that qualify (in whole or in part) for the Green Project Reserve as Energy Efficiency, Water Efficiency, Green Infrastructure or Environmentally Innovative (as defined by U.S. EPA's guidelines) a lower interest rate or additional subsidization in the form of principal forgiveness may apply. The financing term for most projects will be 20 years.

#### Disadvantaged Communities and Sustainability Policy

In the FFY2015 appropriations, Congress mandated that a maximum of \$4,630,500 may be provided as Additional Subsidization, but additional subsidization is not required to be provided. The LDEQ does not intend to provide additional subsidization in FFY2015. Congress also asked states to direct the subsidies to disadvantaged communities and sustainability projects, to the extent possible. The LDEQ does not intend to provide additional subsidization in FFY2015. If the LDEQ decided to provide additional subsidization in FFY2015. If the LDEQ decided to provide additional subsidization is for the congress' direction.

#### a. Disadvantaged Communities

All projects undergo a financial capability review. LDEQ collects substantial information from all project applicants, including audited financial reports, financial projections, outstanding debt, user rates, collection rates, tax information, and economic information such as unemployment rate and median household income.

Disadvantaged Community -Community that meets one or more of the following affordability criteria using the most recent American Community Survey (ACS) data set published by the U.S. Census Bureau

a) Median Household Income (MHI) less than the State MHI,

- b)Percentage of population unemployed is greater than the State percentage
- c) Percentage of population growth over the two most recent years is less than the State percentage

Additional information will be provided if these projects receive additional subsidization in the form of principal forgiveness in the future.

#### **b.** Sustainability Policy

LDEQ is committed to promoting sustainable design and management of wastewater utilities and clean water resources. EPA's Sustainability Policy has identified three categories of projects that help promote sustainable design and management of wastewater utilities. LDEQ is implementing this policy as outlined. The categories and types of eligible projects are described below. *Fix it first projects*. The main principle is that projects in currently established areas, which are still suitable for use, should be encouraged over projects in undeveloped areas. The repair, replacement and upgrade of this infrastructure is encouraged. Projects that may qualify as fix it first projects include:

- Projects that are critical to assuring continued compliance with NPDES discharge limits in existing facilities.
- Upgrades to existing infrastructure in smart growth districts to spur development/redevelopment in these areas, rather than in unplanned or undeveloped areas.
- Rehabilitation of existing wastewater treatment systems (without expansion beyond a normal growth rate in the community or to service infill areas in the community).

<u>Effective utility management.</u> Plans, studies and projects that help improve the technical, managerial and financial capacity of assistance recipients to operate, maintain and replace their infrastructure. The principle is that improved stewardship of the existing infrastructure will help improve their sustainability and extend the useful life.

<u>*Planning.*</u> Preliminary planning, development of alternatives, and capital projects that reflect the full life cycle cost of infrastructure, conserve natural resources or use alternative approaches to integrate natural systems into the built environment. Potential projects include:

- Projects that are identified through current facility planning (or similar efforts) as being critical to protecting long-term investments (federal, state and local) in LDEQ community wastewater treatment facilities.
- Projects consistent with local or regional land use plans.

## V. Financial Management

#### Source of State Match

LDEQ's FFY2015 appropriation of \$15,435,000 will require state matching funds of \$3,087,000 (20 percent). Since the LDEQ has issued bonds for a little more than required in the past, the LDEQ will be issuing \$3,100,000 in state match revenue bonds once the grant has been awarded, and before the Federal Funds are deposited to the Automated Clearing House to be put towards meeting state match requirements for FFY2015 in order to be in compliance. The state match bonds will be repaid using interest earnings on investments and assistance agreements.

#### Fee Income

Annually, the CWSRF assesses a 0.5% administrative fee to assistant recipients on all outstanding loan balances. Federal law allows the state to charge additional fees to supplement the 4% allowed from the fund itself to cover future excess administrative costs. In SFY2015, \$1,041,792 in fees is expected to be deposited into the Administrative fee fund. The Administrative Fees Fund is held outside the CWSRF.

#### **Program Administration**

Up to four percent (4%) of the capitalization grant can be used for administration of the CWSRF program by LDEQ. The state reserves the right to the 4% administration funds not drawn down from a capitalization grant to be used on future 4% administration expenditures. Once those funds are exhausted they are supplemented by a 0.5% administrative fee collected on all outstanding loan balances. As of June 30, 2014, the LDEQ CWSRF has \$17,279,021 in administrative funds, to be used for administration of the program or for other water quality purposes as allowed by EPA. DEQ intends to utilize the administrative funds in FY15 for other water quality related projects and non-point source projects as listed below in Figure 2:

Administration of the CWSRF Program not funded by the grant	\$140,000
NON POINT SOURCE RELATED PROJECTS:	
Match for NPS grant from EPA	1,132,082
OTHER WATER RELATED PROJECTS:	
US Geological Survey - Hydrologic Data and Assistance Coop Program	120,100
Match for PPG grant from EPA	1,915,552
TOTAL USES OF ADMINISTRATIVE FEE FUND	\$3,410,222

#### **Anticipated Cash Draw Ratio**

LDEQ uses the cash flow method for the CWSRF. In SFY2015, LDEQ will be using a cash draw ratio of 83.333 percent federal funds and 16.667 percent state match funds. State matching funds will be deposited to the CWSRF before or at the same time as capitalization grant funds.

#### Transfer of Funds from the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund

LDEQ reserves the authority to transfer additional funds as appropriate, at some time in the future, between the CWSRF and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) program. Transfers eligible up to 33 percent of the FFY 2015 DWSRF capitalization grants are possible. LDEQ does not currently plan on transferring funds to or from the DWSRF in SFY2015.

#### **Estimated Sources and Uses**

The Sources and Uses table in Figure 3 identifies the sources and the uses all of the available funds in the CWSRF in SFY2015 as well as cumulatively. Sources of funds include federal capitalization grants and state matching funds, as well as bond proceeds and repayments. Investment earnings and administrative fees are sources of funds. In keeping with the objective of the CWSRF, the majority of the available funds are used to pay for water quality projects. Interest Earned are also used to pay for state match bonds.

	Cumulative Total		Cumulative Tota
Figure 3: Estimated FY2015 Cumulative Sources &	through June 30,	July 1, 2014- June 30,	through June 30
Jses	2014	2015 (2015 IUP)	2015
SOURCES			
Federal Capitalization Grants	\$ 381,338,523	\$ 30,848,000	\$ 412,186,523
State Match			
Appropriation/Agency Cash- Committed	26,753,586		26,753,586
Provided from State Match Bonds Issues	52,758,184	3,100,000	55,858,184
Principal Repayments on Assistance Provided	369,309,592	60,468,885	429,778,477
Interest Repayments on Assistance Provided	104,025,447	1,836,651	105,862,098
Investment Earnings	38,279,622	264,323	38,543,945
Fees Deposited into the CWSRF			-
TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 972,464,954	\$ 96,517,859	\$1,068,982,813
USES			
Financing Agreements Entered	\$ 672,426,423		\$ 672,426,423
Projects on IUP (2015 IUP)		41,310,000	41,310,000
State Match Bonds repaid with Interest & Investments	55,884,093	3,100,000	58,984,093
Administrative Expenses	14,561,144	3,457,538	18,018,682
TOTAL USES	\$ 742,871,660	\$ 47,867,538	\$ 790,739,198
Available Funds	*		\$ 278,243,616

\*LDEQ's sources exceeds its uses by \$278,243,616, LDEQ is working with several communities on our project priority list to determine projects to be funded with the excess sources.

#### **Financial Management Strategies**

Comprehensive financial planning is essential to LDEQ's CWSRF. Financial planning is used to determine appropriate interest rates, use of additional subsidies and annual and long term financing capacity. LDEQ is always striving to balance the need to provide as much low-cost financing as possible while ensuring that the fund can continue to operate in perpetuity. Each year, LDEQ obtains a cash flow model from a financial advisor which determines what its lending capacity is, considering different variables for interest rates, additional subsidization and potential for leveraging.

Additional financial planning materials, including the proposed payment schedule, the binding commitment schedule and financial projections can be found in Appendix B.

## VI. Program Management

#### **Assurances and Specific Proposals**

The LDEQ has provided the necessary assurance and certifications as part of the Operating Agreement (OA) between LDEQ and US EPA. The OA describes the mutual obligations between EPA and LDEQ, and through a Memorandum of Understanding. The purpose of the OA is to provide a framework of procedures to be followed in the management and administration of the CWSRF. The OA was last updated on February 8, 2013.

The OA addresses our commitment to key CWSRF requirements, including:

- 602(a) Environmental Reviews: The CWSRF will conduct environmental reviews according to the State Environmental Review Process developed for the SRF
- 603(b)(3) Binding Commitments: The CWSRF will enter into binding commitments for 120 percent of each quarterly grant payment within one year of receipt of the payment.
- 602(b)(4) Expeditious and Timely Expenditures: The CWSRF will expend all funds in the CWSRF in a timely manner.

### **Federal Requirements**

Many federal requirements apply in an amount equal to the capitalization grant. These requirements are:

- Single Audit Act (OMB A-133)
- Disadvantaged Business Enterprise compliance (DBE)
- Federal environmental crosscutters
- Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) reporting

In 2015, we have required all of our projects to comply with these requirements as the new Water Resource Reform and Development Act of 2014 (WRRDA) requirements are not applicable to our projects this year. In the future in order to minimize the burden on borrowers, the CWSRF program will select projects in non-disadvantaged communities to comply with these new WRRDA requirements once Congress has finalized the FFY2015 grant.

These communities will:

- Demonstrate compliance with the federal environmental crosscutting authorities during the environmental review and project planning stage.
- Follow the EPA Office of Small Business Programs guidelines for encouraging disadvantaged businesses to participate during the bidding process.
- Report on executive compensation as outlined in the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act.
- Submit Single Audit reports in all years when disbursements of federal funds (both CWSRF and non-CWSRF federal funds) are greater than \$500,000 before January 1, 2015, and \$750,000 thereafter. These recipients will be informed of the requirements. LDEQ will maintain records of their compliance in the project files.

#### **Davis-Bacon Wage Rates**

We expect that EPA's FFY2015 Appropriations bill will require the application of Davis-Bacon prevailing wage rates to all treatment works projects funded in whole or in part by the CWSRF. The Davis-Bacon requirements do not apply to nonpoint source or decentralized wastewater treatment projects. Davis-Bacon applies to construction contracts over \$2,000 and their subcontractors (regardless of the subcontract amount). To ensure compliance with these requirements, LDEQ will confirm that the correct wage determinations are being included in the bid specifications and/or construction contracts. LDEQ will also provide assistance recipients with the specific EPA Davis-Bacon contract language that is to be included in bid specifications and/or contracts.

#### **Audits and Reporting**

The LDEQ CWSRF is committed to transparency and accountability. To that end, program information, Intended Use Plans, Annual Reports, and other program materials are posted on the SRF website: <a href="http://www.deg.louisiana.gov/cwsrf">www.deg.louisiana.gov/cwsrf</a>.

An independent audit is conducted annually by the Louisiana Legislative Auditor's office. Project milestones and information are reported through EPA's Clean Water Benefits Reporting database. The LDEQ CWSRF commits to entering benefits information on all projects into CBR by the end of the quarter in which the assistance agreement is signed.

## VII. Public Review and Comment

A copy of the IUP will be made available for public review and comment beginning May 4, 2015. Written comments will be accepted through close of business, June 4, 2015. (See copy of public notice Attachment 2.) Any comments received will be duly considered and will be provided to EPA.

# VIII. Exhibits and Attachments

EXHIBIT 1: SFY 2015 Project Priority List

# SFY 2015 Project Priority List

Borrower	Loan #	Priorit y #	Amount Requested	Under Enforce -ment	Type of Project 212,319,32 0	Project Description	Secondary I	Advance d II	Infiltration / Inflow III-A	Sewer Rehabiliatio n III-B	New Collector IV-A	New Intercepto r IV-B	Stormwate r Treatment Systems VI-B	NPS Control VII	Recycled Water Distributio n X	Estuary Manageme nt XI	Energy Conservatio n	Water Conservatio n
	221918-		\$			North WWTP Renovations	\$							\$				
BATON ROUGE	01	60	20,000,000	yes	212	including NPS improvements	18,000,000							2,000,000				
	221860-		\$			Wastewater Collection and	\$			\$		\$						
KENNER	02	58	15,000,000	yes	212	Treatment Work	1,500,000		\$ 1,050,000	2,700,000		9,750,000						
						Addition of aeration basin and												
	221914-		\$			chlorine contact chamber at	\$											
Peal River	01	55	2,000,000	no	212	existing WWTP.	2,000,000											
RAPIDES																		
PARISH SEWER	221423-		\$			Village Green WWTP	\$					\$						
DISTRICT #2	02	40	2,485,000	yes	212	Replacement	2,161,950					323,050						
	221046-		\$															
RAYNE	02	53	1,825,000	yes	212	I/I Correction			\$ 1,825,000									
St. Bernard	221310-		\$10,000,00															
Parish	04	30	0	yes	212	I/I Correction			\$ 10,000,000									

FUTURE FUNDING								
Arcadia (Bienville) 2	221746- 01	93	\$ 3,534,990	no	212	To reclaim and reuse the town's wastewater effluent	\$ 1,812,46 0	\$ 1,722,030
Basile (Evangeline) 2	221531- 01	25	\$ 578,335	no	212	Installation of automated water meter reading system		\$ 578,335
Bernice (Union ) 3	221890- 01	0	\$ 200,000	no	212	Construct 500 gallon tank for stoarage of gray water to be used in industry in place of aquifer water.		\$ 200,000
Bernice (Union) 2	221889- 01	50	\$ 670,000		212	Replace water meters with automatic meter reading system		\$ 670,000
Breaux Bridge (St. Martin) 2	221381- 01	25	\$ 1,180,000	no	212	Installation of automated meter reading system		\$ 1,180,000
Bunkie	221917- 01	55	\$ 8,940,200	no				
Church Point (Acadia) 2	221571- 01	45	\$ 580,275	no	212	Installation of wireless remote meter reading system		\$ 580,275
Elton (Jefferson	221892- 01	25	\$ 459,935	no	212	New automated water meter reading system		\$ 459,935

Davis)										
Floerl Rougon										
Farm Coop	221835-		\$						\$	
(Jefferson)	01	18	1,139,400	no	319	Constructed wetland			1,139,400	
						Complete				
Georgetown	221356-		\$			replacement/rehabilitation of	\$	\$		
(Grant) 2	01	88	500,000	yes	212	WWT Facility	470,500	29,500		
Grambling	221055-		\$			Automated Water Meter Reading				\$
(Lincoln) 1	01	25	408,660	no	212	System				408,660
						Rehabilitation of secondary				
Gretna	221496-		Ś			clarifiers and associated bridge	Ś			
(Jefferson) 2	01	52	800,000	no	212	and provide bypass pumping.	800,000			
		52	000,000			Supplemental funding for				
	221412-		\$			construction of a 0.025 MGD		Ś		
Ida (Caddo) 2	01	47	55,000	no	212	extended aeration package plant.		55,000		
								55,000		
Krotz Springs	221896-		\$			Installation of automated water				Ş
(St. Landry)	01	30	512,510	no	212	meter reading system				512,510
Livingston										
Parish Sewer										
Distrcit I & II	221461-		\$			Expansion of collection system				
(Livingston)	01	55	2,660,000	no	212	service area		\$ 2,660,000		
Mamou	221521-		\$			Installation of automated water				\$
(Evangeline) 2	01	25	1,461,735	no	212	meter reading system				1,461,735
						New aeration system for existing				
Montgomery	221894-		\$			lagoon. Rehabilitate four lift	\$	\$		
(Grant)	01	60	131,880	yes	212	stations.	70,000	20,000		
Natchitoches										
(Natchitoches)	221161-		\$			Repair and stabilize embankment			\$	
2	01	75	759,100			along Cane River			759,100	
New Orleans										
Sewer &										
Water Board	221091-		\$			Installation of automated meter				\$
(Orleans) 2	01	30	3,250,000	no	212	reading system				3,250,000
						Gravity sewer rehabilitation.				
Oakdale	221181-		\$			Replace pumps at lift station.		\$		
(Allen) 3	01	35	6,000,000	no	212	Rerouting lift station force main.		6,000,000		
	221915-		\$							
OLLA	01	25	382,500	no						
Olla (La	221271-		\$			Permeable Pavement parking			Ś	
		25			210	lot - 200 x 200 ft				
Salle) 2	01	25	500,000		319	101 - 200 X 200 TT			500,000	

						Rehabilitation of the existing				
						wastewater treatment facility and collection system.				
						Lift stations in collection				
						system will be rahabilitated				
						with new pumping				
						equipment, piping and electrical. Smoke tests will				
Provencal						be conducted. Line, point				
(Natchitoches)	2211898		\$			and manhole repairs will be	\$		\$	
2	-01	86	2,610,000	yes	212	conducted. Replace 4 WWTPs. Video	1,242,276	\$ 496,900	870,830	
						collection system. Point				
Rapides Parish						repairs and manhole rehab				
Sewer District	221423- 01		\$ 4,625,700		212	to correct I/I. Replace 6 lift stations.	\$ 2,754,855	\$ 695,581	\$ 1,175,264	
#2 (Rapides)	221466-		4,625,700 \$		212	Automated Water Meter	2,754,855	\$ 095,581	1,175,204	Ś
Scott (Lafayette) 2	01	25	ې 850,000	no	212	Reading System				ې 850,000
Simsboro		_	,			Installation of Level				
Monitoring System	221267-		\$			Monitoring System for sewer			\$	
(Lincoln)	01	10	90,000	no	212	pumps and elevated tanks.			90,000	
Simsboro Water Meter	221266-		\$			Installation of automated				s
(Lincoln)	01	10	400,000	no	212	water meter reading system				400,000
St.	221900-		\$			rehab city's Primary sewage			\$	
Martinville St. Tammany	01	33	2,000,000	yes	212	pump station			2,000,000	
Sewerage										
District 1 (St. Tammany)	221820- 01	35	\$ 2,000,000	no	212	Rehabilitation of collection system		########### #		
Tannany	01	33	2,000,000	110		New collection line along				
Sterlington	221282-		\$			Highway 165, in south				########
(Ouachita) 3	01	85	1,284,400	no		Sterlington				#
Sunset (St. Landry) 2	221631- 01	25	\$ 340,000	no	212	Automated Water Meter Reading System				\$ 340,000
Terrebonne	01	20	340,000	10	212	Rehab of anaerobic digester.				340,000
Parish 2	221491-		\$			Cogeneration equipment	\$			
(Terrebonne)	01	56	6,975,000	no	212	installation	6,975,000			
Turkey Creek (Evangeline)	221845- 01	25	\$ 257,177	no	212	Automated Water Meter Reading System				\$ 257,177
(Evangeline)	01	23	237,177	110	212	Renovation and				237,177
						rehabilitation of WWTP and				
Vivian	221401- 01	25	\$	1000	212	rehabilitation of collection		¢ 010 F00	\$ 200 E00	
(Caddo) 2	01	35	1,120,000	yes	212	system		\$ 810,500	309,500	

Washington	221526-		\$			Replace water meters with automatic meter reading		Ś
(St. Landry) 2	01	25	489,222	no	212	system		489,222
						Rehabiliatation of collection		
						system pipe and new PVC		
West Monroe	221392-		\$			main. Constrcut new	\$	
(Ouachita) 4	01	105	884,455	yes	212	manholes and services.	964,050	
Zachary (East								
Baton Rouge)	221451-		Ş			Leak Detection System-		Ş
2	01	30	570,000	no	212	replace 4000 meter registers		570,000

EXHIBIT 2: Administrative Expenses – Staffing List & Budget

#### Administrative Expenses

LOUISIANA CWSRF	ANNUAL	EST. CWSRF	PERSONNEL	INDIRECT
STAFF LISTING	SALARY RATE	WORKYEARS	COST	57.08%
Accountant 3	47,486	0.12	5,698	
Accountant 3	44,990	-	-	
Accountant 4	50,086	1.00	50,086	
Accountant Administrator	98,072	0.11	10,788	
Engineer Intern 1	48,828	0.23	11,230	
Engineer Intern 1	39,208	0.06	2,352	
Engineer Intern 1	39,208	0.04	1,568	
Engineer 5	85,342	0.40	34,137	
Engineer 5-DCL	67,059	1.00	67,059	
Engineer 6	101,670	0.60	61,002	
Environmental Division Administrator	119,746	0.27	32,331	
Environmental Scientist	81,182	0.12	9,742	
Student	3,606	1.00	3,606	
Totals	\$ 822,877	4.95	\$ 289,601	\$165,304
Fringe Benefits	\$312,611	4.95	\$97,451	\$55,625
	Total Salaries		\$387,051	\$220,929

#### PART 2 - ANNUAL CWSRF ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET THE BUDGET PERIOD FOR THIS IUP IS 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2015

				Provided by	
		Pre	ovided by	Adm Fee	
Budget Category			Grant	<u>Account</u>	Total
Salaries			289,601		289,601
Fringe Benefits			97,451		97,451
Indirect Cost			220,929		220,929
Travel*			12,000		12,000
Contractual Services**			15,000		15,000
	Total	\$	634,981		\$ 634,981

\$85,000,000 is appropraited for construction of SRF projects.

\*Travel for Engineers, and Financial staff for Inspections, Information Meetings and Conferences. About 48 In-State travel trips and 12 Out-of-State travel trips or a total of approximately 60 trips per year.

\*\* Contractual Services includes the services of a bond attorney for bond issues, legal opinions, possible leveraging and rule or regulation revisions.

EXHIBIT 3: Payment Schedule & Binding Commitment Requirements

# Payment Schedule

Derreuver	Drineinel	Interest		44h Otr 0044		I Fiscal	2
Borrower	Principal	Interest	Admin Fees	4th Qtr 2014	<u>1st Qtr 2015</u>	2nd Qtr 2015	3rd Qtr 2015
ADDIS	74,000.00	5,917.50	6,575.00	74,000.00			
ALEXANDRIA	212,000.00	12,931.76	14,368.61	74,000.00			212.000.00
AMITECITY	66,000.00	19,379.50	3,955.00			66,000.00	212,000.00
BLANCHARD	80,000.00	6,854.42	7,616.04			80,000.00	
BOSSIER CITY	1,023,000.00	87,032.75	94,700.10		1,023,000.00		
BOSSIER PARISH SEWER DISTRICT NO. 1	80,000.00	6,363.86	7,070.98		-,,	80,000.00	
BROUSSARD	95,000.00	10,789.34	11,988.14			00,000.00	95,000.00
CADDO-BOSSIER PORT COMMISSION	395,000.00	19,722.50	4,025.00			395,000.00	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
CROWLEY	6,000.00	542.24	602.48	6.000.00		,	
DELHI	516,000.00	42,599.60	47,332.90	.,		516,000.00	
DONALDSONVILLE	235,000.00	16,387.25	8,652.50	235,000.00			
EAST BATON ROUGE SEWERAGE COMMISSIC	518,000.00	39,053.47	43,392.75			518,000.00	
FRANKLIN	69,000.00	8,625.25	1,760.26			69,000.00	
GONZALES	460,000.00	29,744.58	6,070.32			460,000.00	
GRETNA	162,000.00	11,737.10	13,041.22			162,000.00	
HAMMOND	-	3,772.05	4,191.16				
HARAHAN	-	431.55	479.51				
Haughton	130,000.00	11,794.15	13,104.61				130,000.00
HENDERSON	29,000.00	11,196.50	2,285.00			29,000.00	
HOMER	35,000.00	3,620.51	4,022.79		35,000.00		
IBERIA PARISH SEWERAGE DIST #1	39,000.00	2,940.00	600.00			39,000.00	
IDA	8,000.00	270.02	292.22				8,000.00
JEFFERSON PARISH	696,000.00	25,559.04	28,398.98			696,000.00	
JENNINGS	415,000.00	20,580.00	4,200.00			415,000.00	
KENNER	1,581,000.00	137,406.49	116,895.89		776,000.00		805,000.00
LAFOURCHE SEWER DISTRICT NO. 1	78,000.00	31,915.96	6,513.46			78,000.00	
LAKE CHARLES	977,000.00	18,231.85	20,257.62				977,000.00
LEESVILLE	320,000.00	7,840.00	1,600.00			320,000.00	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
LIVONIA	169,000.00	16,579.80	18,422.00			520,000.00	169,000.00
LOCKPORT	139,000.00	7,339.07	3,110.44			139,000.00	109,000.00
LOGANSPORT	53,000.00	4,639.50	5,155.00			159,000.00	53,000.00
LUS IN LAFA YETTE	1,055,000.00	95,366.25	19,462.50		1,055,000.00		55,000.00
MANSURA	66,602.15	3,352.44	3,724.96	66,602.15	1,055,000.00		
MONROE	655,000.00	32,895.71	36,550.79	655,000.00			
MORGAN CITY	175,000.00	14,247.89	15,831.00	055,000.00	175,000.00		
NEW IBERIA	313,000.00	5,909.21	6,565.79		175,000.00		313,000.00
NEW LLANO	165,000.00	4,143.59	845.63			165,000.00	515,000.00
NEW ORLEANS WATER & SEWER BOARD	415,000.00	37,707.18	41,896.87		415.000.00	105,000.00	
NEW ROADS	35,000.00	3,455.16	3,840.18	35,000.00	415,000.00		
OAKDALE	146,000.00	12,532.50	13,925.00	55,000.00	146,000.00		
OPELOUSAS	170,000.00	52,973.04	8,079.42	170,000.00	140,000.00		
OUACHITA	89,000.00	7.122.72	7,902.99	170,000.00	89,000.00		
PINEVILLE	160,000.00	8,224.79	9,138.65		37,000.00		160,000.00
PLAQUEMINE	4.40,000,00	11000.01	15.0.00 50		149,000.00		100,000.00
PORT ALLEN	149,000.00 89,000.00	7,166.25	7,962.50		89,000.00		
RAYNE	395,000.00	29,890.00	6,100.00		0,000.00	395,000.00	
RUSTON	90,000.00	1,102.50			90,000.00	555,000.00	
SHREVEPORT	43,115,906.04	485,657.89	132,362.46		43,115,906.04		
SIMMESPORT	11,000.00	913.50			15,115,500.01		11,000.00
ST TAMMANY PARISH SEWER DIST #4	43,000.00	6,348.00	920.00			43,000.00	11,000.00
ST. CHARLES PARISH	708,000.00	31,318.35	21,242.61	400,000.00	308,000.00	15,000.00	
ST. FRANCISVILLE	46,000.00	1,667.98	1,852.56	46,000.00	200,000.00		
ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST	43,000.00	4,071.75		10,000.00	43,000.00		
ST. MARY SEWER DISTRICT 5	50,000.00	1,225.00	250.00		10,000.00	50,000.00	
ST. TAMMANY PARISH	55,000.00	3,332.64	3,702.94	55,000.00		50,000.00	
STERLINGTON	49,000.00	4,251.61	4,724.00	20,000.00	15,000.00		34,000.00
TERREBONNE PARISH	794,000.00	65,517.38	70,207.89	794,000.00	12,000.00		2 ,000100
THIBODAUX	135,000.00	20,206.26	10,006.95	135,000.00			
WALKER	38,377.04	2,604.84			38,377.04		
WELSH	90,000.00	8,085.00	1,650.00	90,000.00			
WEST BATON ROUGE PARISH	93,000.00	7,223.95	8,026.62				93,000.00
WEST MONROE	58,000.00	4,977.00	5,530.00		58,000.00		2,000100
WESTWEGO	130,000.00	46,322.70	13,548.65		130,000.00		
WINNFIELD	125,000.00	27,864.55	9,916.18		130,000.00		125,000.00
WINNSBORO	47,000.00	3,874.24	4,304.72			47,000.00	-20,000.00
YOUNGSVILLE	208,000.00	17,176.50	19,085.00			17,000.00	208,000.00
ZACHARY	424,000.00	34,971.77	38,857.53			424,000.00	200,000.00
	59,090,885.23	1,729,780.56	1,051,845.56	2,761,602.15	47,750,283.08	5,186,000.00	3,393,000.00

## Binding Commitment Requirement

	inent nequirem			Required	Cumulative Req.	Actual	Cumulative Est.
FED QTR 🔽	Prior Grants	Current Grant 1	Total By Quart 🔽	Commitments -	Commit.	Commitments 🔻	Comm. 🔽
3/06	-		-	2,190,000	296,283,682	4,000,000	471,644,983
4/06	-		-	3,108,000	299,391,682	86,754,948	558,399,931
1/07	-		-	17,823,840	317,215,522	(150,000)	558,249,931
2/07	3,948,600		3,948,600	-	317,215,522	(32,020,000)	526,229,931
3/07	3,948,600		3,948,600	-	317,215,522	650,000	526,879,931
4/07	3,948,600		3,948,600	-	317,215,522	-	526,879,931
1/08	9,623,700		9,623,700	-	317,215,522	500,000	527,379,931
2/08	-		-	4,738,320	321,953,842	-	527,379,931
3/08	-		-	4,738,320	326,692,162	-	527,379,931
4/08	-		-	4,738,320	331,430,482	470,626	527,850,557
1/09	-		-	11,548,440	342,978,922	14,000,000	541,850,557
2/09	-		-	-	342,978,922	-	541,850,557
3/09	3,921,885		3,921,885	-	342,978,922	-	541,850,557
4/09	3,921,885		3,921,885	-	342,978,922	298,240	542,148,797
1/10	10,094,025		10,094,025	-	342,978,922	55,333,423	597,482,220
2/10	8,326,210		8,326,210	-	342,978,922	19,630,647	617,112,867
3/10	23,404,700		23,404,700	4,706,262	347,685,184	31,517,000	648,629,867
4/10	10,190,330		10,190,330	4,706,262	352,391,446	29,639,235	678,269,102
1/11	6,172,120		6,172,120	12,112,830	364,504,276	35,115,060	713,384,162
2/11	1,864,000		1,864,000	9,991,452	374,495,728	1,472,300	714,856,462
3/11	1,864,000		1,864,000	28,085,640	402,581,368	23,722,000	738,578,462
4/11	5,599,500		5,599,500	12,228,396	414,809,764	895,920	739,474,382
1/12	5,599,500		5,599,500	7,406,544	422,216,308	12,068,000	751,542,382
2/12	5,599,500		5,599,500	2,236,800	424,453,108	32,735,000	784,277,382
3/12	21,832,500		21,832,500	2,236,800	426,689,908	7,700,000	791,977,382
4/12			-	6,719,400	433,409,308	-	791,977,382
1/13	15,537,000		15,537,000	6,719,400	440,128,708	30,834,000	822,811,382
2/13			-	6,719,400	446,848,108	50,786,500	873,597,882
3/13			-	26,199,000	466,327,708	10,236,000	883,833,882
4/13	14,677,000		14,677,000	-	466,327,708	22,730,000	906,563,882
1/14			-	18,644,400	484,972,108	18,510,755	925,074,637
2/14			-		466,327,708	4,650,000	929,724,637
3/14			-	-	466,327,708	25,199,430	954,924,067
4/14		15,413,000	15,413,000	17,612,400	483,940,108	10,000,000	964,924,067
1/15			-	-	466,327,708	2,000,000	966,924,067
2/15			-	-	466,327,708	15,170,000	982,094,067
3/15			-	-	466,327,708	10,000,000	992,094,067
4/15			-	18,495,600	484,823,308		992,094,067
	\$ 160,073,655	\$ 15,413,000	\$ 175,486,655	\$ 233,705,826		\$ 524,449,084	

Note 1: 04 grant includes a \$15,000 (drawn in Fed Qtr 3/05) in kind contribution for software purchase.

EXHIBIT 4: Estimated Uses of Funds

## Estimated Uses of Funds

<u>Loans</u>	<b>Beginning</b>						
Paid From	<u>Loan Balance</u>	<u>FFY 2014</u>	FFY 2015	FFY 2015	<u>FFY 2015</u>		Balance
Grants & Match	<u>6/30/2014</u>	<u>4th Quarter</u>	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	<u>3rd Quarter</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Outstanding</u>
ALEXANDRIA	1,547,906	4,569	108,642	-		113,211	1,434,695
BLANCHARD	1,252,702	3,640	149,603	101,399	-	254,641	998,061
BOSSIER CITY #3	2,650,986	969,453	596,789	-	550,000	2,116,242	534,744
BOSSIER PARISH	16,496,028	237,128	251,285	156,730	1,037,204	1,682,346	14,813,682
BROUSSARD	1,382,370	-	-	-	425,000	425,000	957,370
CROWLEY	1,776,502	-	-	-	250,000	250,000	1,526,502
DELHI	12,422	-	-	-	12,422	12,422	-
EBRSCO #3	44,546,570	675,537	836,782	723,163	1,336,397	3,571,880	40,974,690
FLORIEN	180,480	-	97,207	5,423	39,964	142,594	37,886
HAMMOND	4,647,079	497,185	548,037	-	625,000	1,670,222	3,329,778
HARAHAN	3,935,772	-	123,551	-	340,000	463,551	3,472,221
HAUGHTON	291,949	96,899	-	-	145,000	241,899	50,050
HOMER	2,730,727	161,076	53,516	-	460,000	674,592	2,056,135
HORNBECK	120,919	59,470	-	61,449	-	120,919	-
IDA	209,028	87,736	20,250	-	-	107,986	101,042
JEFFERSON PARISH #1	9,620,716	-	230,560	-	488,000	718,560	8,902,156
JEFFERSON PARISH #2	19,020,047	97,955	102,424	-	150,000	350,379	18,669,669
JONESBORO	1,085,485	241,291	311,039	-	160,899	713,229	372,256
KENNER #3	5,694,229	-	-	-	655,000	655,000	5,039,229
KENNER #4	13,626,443	1,819,729	-	-	500,000	2,319,729	11,306,714
LAKE CHARLES	15,064,245	_	-	-	361,000	361,000	14,703,245
LAKE PROVIDENCE	608,485	-	3,500	-	15,000	18,500	589,985
LIVONIA	2,500	2,500	_	-	-	2,500	-
LOCKPORT	3,465,492	298,488	-	68,373	175,000	541,862	2,923,630
MANSURA	182,176	15,583	-	_	33,000	48,583	133,593
MARINGOUIN	120,606	7,236	6,030	18,091	30,151	61,509	59,097
MONROE #5	4,626,061	285,200	_	_	650,000	935,200	3,690,861
MONROE #6	11,624,868	-	-	-	725,000	725,000	10,899,868
MORGAN CITY	167,300	43,498	30,114	41,825	30,114	145,551	21,749
NEW IBERIA	5,663,770	493,837	68,159	114,416	777,000	1,453,412	4,210,357
NEW ROADS	128,001	9,605	_	-	-	9,605	118,397
PINEVILLE	2,362,814		-	19,125	27,000	46,125	2,316,689
PLAQUEMINE #2	6,780,583	217,782	41,542	128,644	682,000	1,069,968	5,710,615
SHREVEPORT # 8	4,810,123	-	-	- , -	454,000	454,000	4,356,123
SHREVEPORT # 9	2,692,399	-	960,642	-	1,150,000	2,110,642	581,757
ST. CHARLES PARISH	1,866,110	-	52,147	-	250,000	302,147	1,563,964
ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST	457,404	105,719		-	275,000	380,719	76,685
ST. TAMMANY PARISH	219,414	-	-	-	175,000	175,000	44,414
STERLINGTON	11,415					-	11,415
STERLINGTON	13,283						,
TERREBONNE PARISH	1,615,385	78,635	22,042	-	259,000	359,677	1,255,708
THIBODAUX	7,828,263	1,441,860	198,065	71,920	188,000	1,899,845	5,928,418
WEST BATON ROUGE PARISH	217,618	196,396	-	-	21,222	217,618	0,020,410
WEST OUACHITA SEWERAGE DISTRICT #5	268,043	268,043	-	-	-	268,043	-
WESTWEGO	154,976	-	61,140	-	66,000	127,140	27,836
WINN PARISH	248,977	110,268	138,326	-	384	248,977	
WINNFIELD	480,573	1,710	24,333	47,689	59,000	132,732	347.841
ZACHARY	1,172,310	628,636	50,047		164,263	842,946	329,364
ZACHARY	4,913,450	25,550	1,184,728	-	77,000	1,287,278	3,626,172
	.,510,400	20,000	.,104,720		77,000	.,_07,,270	5,520,172
New Projects to close in SFY 2015	37,170,000	138,826	-	602,385	1,500,000	2,241,211	34,928,789
Total Loans from Grants	245,765,002	9,321,039	6,270,500	2,160,631	15,319,020	28,229,750	192,768,396
	2.0,.00,002	2,321,000	3,210,000	2,100,001		20,220,700	

	83.33% Loans	7,175,350	6,747,356	1,332,474	8,333,000	23,588,180
	State Match	1,435,415	1,349,797	266,557	1,667,000	4,718,768
		8,610,765	8,097,153	1,599,031	10,000,000	28,306,948
Estimated Administrative Expenses		105,572	165,168	156,000	170,000	634,981
	<u>83.33% Admin. Exp.</u>	87,973	137,634	129,994	141,661	497,262
	State Match	17,599	27,534	26,006	28,339	137,719
Total Loans & Admin. Drawn from Grants		7,263,323	6,884,990	1,462,468	8,474,661	24,085,442

	Balance After Quarterly Grant Draws							
		FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2015	FFY 2015			
Grant & Balances	Beginning	4th Quarter	<u>1st Quarter</u>	2nd Quarter	<u>3rd Quarter</u>	Grants Balance		
AS OF 6/30/2014	5,703,597	5,703,597	-	-	-	-		
Received in FY2015	15,413,000	1,560,864	6,751,950	1,495,540	5,604,646	-		
To be applied for in FY2015	15,435,000	-	-	-	8,474,661	6,960,339		
Total Grants	36,551,597	7,264,461	6,751,950	1,495,540	14,079,307	6,960,339		

	Quarterly Cash Draws									
	Beginning	FFY 2014	FFY 2015	FFY 2015	FFY 2015		Balance			
Loans from Cash	Loan Balance	4th Quarter	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	Cash Drawn	Outstanding			
ALEXANDRIA	1,434,695	293,844	-	-	-	293,844	1,140,851			
BLANCHARD	998,061	337,649	-	13,685	-	351,334	646,727			
BOSSIER CITY #3	534,744	-	-	11,865	-	11,865	522,879			
BOSSIER PARISH	14,813,682	49,913	-	932,050	-	981,964	13,831,718			
EBRSCO #3	40,974,690	540,220	-	1,984,223	-	2,524,443	38,450,247			
FLORIEN	37,886	-	-	37,886	-	37,886	-			
HAMMOND	3,329,778	-	-	704,202	-	704,202	2,625,576			
HOMER	2,056,135	-	-	322,176	-	322,176	1,733,959			
JEFFERSON PARISH #1	8,902,156	-	-	375,560	-	375,560	8,526,596			
JEFFERSON PARISH #2	18,669,669	-	-	124,833	-	124,833	18,544,836			
JONESBORO	372,256	288,483	-	83,773	-	372,256	-			
KENNER #3	5,039,229	738,925	-	183,724	-	922,649	4,116,580			
KENNER #4	11,306,714	-	-	1,604,815		1,604,815	9,701,899			
LAKE CHARLES	14,703,245	-	-	135,893	-	135,893	14,567,352			
NEW IBERIA	4,210,357	134,322	-	979,745	-	1,114,067	3,096,290			
PINEVILLE	2,316,689	14,646	-	-	-	14,646	2,302,043			
PLAQUEMINE #2	5,710,615	242,166	-	783,868	-	1,026,035	4,684,580			
ST. CHARLES PARISH	1,563,964	-	-	383,196	-	383,196	1,180,769			
THIBODAUX	5,928,418	19,369	-	35,444	-	54,813	5,873,605			
WINNFIELD	347,841	76,417	-	-	-	76,417	271,424			
ZACHARY	329,364	2,461	-	326,903	-	329,364	-			
ZACHARY	3,626,172	-	-	19,163	-	19,163	3,607,010			
Total Loans from Cash	147,206,360	2,738,415	-	9,043,004	-	11,781,419	135,424,940			
Total Grant & Cash	\$ 392,971,362	\$ 12,059,454	\$ 6,270,500	\$ 11,203,635	\$ 15,319,020	\$ 40,011,169	\$ 328,193,337			

\*\* Once grant dollars are expended, any remaining draws for these projects will be funded with cash

EXHIBIT 5: Loans Closed

#### Loans Closed

	Publicly Owned Treatment Works Projects L				Open		
Project #	Borrower	Date	Loan Amount	Funding Source		Federal Funding Year	federal qt
21600-01	ABBEVILLE	1/7/2010	759,870.27	Grants		ARRA	2/10
1360-01	ADDIS	11/10/2010	1,569,060.00	Grants		2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011	1/11
475-01	ALEXANDRIA	2/13/2012	4,550,000.00	Grants	*	2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014	2/12
1080-01	AMITE CITY	1/10/2003	1,330,000.00	Grants		2000, 2001	
1745-01	ARCADIA	1/7/2010	676,070.00	Grants		ARRA	2/10
1505-01	BAKER	1/15/2010	500,000.00	Grants		ARRA	2/10
1485-01	BALL	12/1/2009	998,533.61	Grants		ARRA	1/10
1530-01	BASILE	12/15/2009	499,990.45	Grants		ARRA	1/10
21026-01	BASTROP	12/19/1995	7,500,000.00	Grants		1991, 1992	
					1		4/40
21126-01	BASTROP	12/18/2009	1,510,550.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
21415-01	BENTON	11/17/2009	416,327.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
1545-01	BLANCHARD	8/15/2013	2,000,000.00	Grants	*	2010, 2012, 2013, 2014	4/13
1131-01	BOGALUSA	8/29/1996	1,732,158.41			1993, 1994	
21131-02	BOGALUSA	4/1/1998	5,599,105.49	Grants		1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 2000, 2001, 2003	
1131-03	BOGALUSA	6/17/1998	3,170,000.00	Grants		1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000	
1131-04	BOGALUSA	6/17/1998	1,730,000.00	Repayments			
1131-05	BOGALUSA	8/24/1999	2,000,000.00	Grants		1998, 1999, 2000	
1102-01	BOSSIER CITY	12/30/1997	5,879,130.00	Grants		1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999	
1102-02	BOSSIER CITY	3/18/2009	3,332,792.44	Grants		2000, 2003	2/09
1102-02	BOSSIER CITY	11/10/2010	22,000,000.00	Grants	*	2007,2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014	1/11
1102-03	BOSSIER CITY	8/28/2014	10,000,000.00	Grants	*	2012	4/14
					*		
1173-01	BOSSIER PARISH	3/22/2012	17,750,000.00	Grants		2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014	2/12
1880-01	BOSSIER PARISH	3/22/2013	286,500.00	Grants		2010	
1480-01	BOYCE	12/15/2009	899,709.91	Grants		ARRA	1/10
1635-01	BROUSSARD	6/21/2012	4,000,000.00	Grants	*	2011, 2012	3/12
1127-01	CADDO-BOSSIER PORT COMMISSION	6/29/1995	6,250,329.24	Grants		1991, 1992, 1993	
1750-01	CARENCRO	12/18/2009	599,475.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
1290-01	CLAYTON	11/6/2009	515,765.60	Grants	1	ARRA	1/10
1045-01	CROWLEY	10/8/1993	338,597.13	Grants		1990	
1045-02	CROWLEY	12/19/1995	4,500,000.00	Grants	1	1991, 1992, 1993, 1996, 1997, 1999, 2000, 2009	
1045-03	CROWLEY	4/7/2000	3,000,000.00	Grants	1	1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2003, 2008, 2009	
1045-04	CROWLEY	11/20/2006	1,350,000.00	Grants		2004, 2005	
1145-01	CROWLEY	1/15/2010	1,000,000.00	Grants		ARRA	2/10
1145-02	CROWLEY	6/26/2013	1,900,000.00	Repayments	*		3/13
1320-01	CULLEN	12/18/2009	925,000.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
21680-01	DELCAMBRE	11/17/2009	900,000.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
21575-01	DELHI	12/18/2009	1,000,000.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
21576-01	DELHI	3/23/2010	11,000,000.00	Grants	*	2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2010, 2012	2/10
1230-01	DEQUINCY	12/18/2009	750,000.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
					1		1/10
1107-01	DONALDSONVILLE	3/10/1995	2,814,660.00	Grants		1991, 1992, 1993, 1995	
1117-01	DONALDSONVILLE	3/17/2011	1,472,300.00	Grants		2007, 2009, 2010, 2011,	2/11
1012-01	EBRSCO	3/17/2009	5,671,061.86	Repayments			
1013-01	EBRSCO	4/29/2010	8,300,000.00	Grants		2005, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010	3/10
1013-02	EBRSCO	3/6/2013	45,000,000.00	Grants	*	2010, 2012, 2013, 2014	2/13
1720-01	EUNICE	1/28/2010	801,509.00	Grants		ARRA	2/10
1850-01	FLORIEN	5/15/2014	199,430.00	Grants	*	2014	3/14
1039-01	FRANKLIN	10/31/1990	850,000.00	Grants		1988, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992	717
1132-01	FRANKLIN	6/23/1995	400,000.00	Grants		1991, 1992	
1132-02	FRANKLIN	11/1/2000	758,000.00	Grants		1991, 1992, 1999, 2000	
1070-01	GONZALES	5/28/1997	7,294,064.13	Grants		1993, 1994, 1995, 1996	
1906-01	GONZALES	2/2/2015	15,170,000.00	Grants	*	2014	2/15
1053-01	GRAMBLING	8/4/1993	1,163,785.59	Grants		1990, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1998	
1054-01	GRAMBLING	12/18/2009	583,000.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
1034-01	GRAMERCY	7/14/1997	1,250,000.00	Grants		1988, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1993	
1495-01	GRETNA	6/8/2010	3,228,000.00	Grants		2005, 2007, 2010, 2011, 2012	3/10
	HALL SUMMIT	12/23/2009	134,300.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
	HAMMOND	11/17/2009	778,479.11	Grants		ARRA	1/10
1740-01		11/1/2012	5,000,000.00	Grants	*	2012, 2013, 2014	1/14
1740-01	HAMMOND	11/1/2013	5,000,000.00				
1740-01 1741-01	HAMMOND HARAHAN	3/12/2014	4,000,000.00	Grants	*	2012, 2013	2/14
1370-01 1740-01 1741-01 1885-01 1701-01				Grants Grants	*	2012, 2013 2012, 2013	2/14 3/13
1740-01 1741-01 1885-01	HARAHAN	3/12/2014	4,000,000.00		*		

	Publicly Owned Treatment Works Projects L				Onen		
Project #	Borrower	Date	Loan Amount	Funding Source	Open Loan	Federal Funding Year	federal qtr
221225-01	HORNBECK	12/18/2009	525,000.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
221226-01	HORNBECK	8/6/2013	395,000.00	Grants		2010, 2012, 2014	4/13
221010-01	IBERIA PARISH SEWERAGE DIST #1	3/29/1996	633,345.40	Grants		1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996	
221410-01	IDA	4/28/2010	250,000.00	Grants	*	2005, 2010, 2012, 2013, 2014	1/10
221510-01	JACKSON	12/23/2009	518,007.22	Grants		ARRA	1/10
221605-01	JEANERETTE	12/23/2009	250,000.00	Grants	•	ARRA	1/10
221840-01	JEFFERSON PARISH	12/19/2013	15,250,000.00	Grants	*	2010, 2013, 2014	1/14
221841-01	JEFFERSON PARISH	4/24/2014	20,000,000.00	Grants		2012, 2014	3/14
221062-01 221042-01	JENA JENNINGS	7/21/1994	2,595,131.59	Grants Grants		1988, 1989, 1990 1991, 1992, 1993, 1996, 2000	
221042-01 221043-01	JENNINGS	12/29/1993	6,500,000.00 999,992.74	Grants		ARRA	1/10
221043-01 221730-01	JONESBORO	1/7/2010	792,585.84	Grants		ARRA	2/10
221730-01	JONESBORO	6/26/2013	1,836,000.00	Grants	*	2010, 2012, 2013, 2014	3/13
221731-01 221104-01	KENNER	7/28/1994	11,427,802.58	Grants	1	1990, 1991, 1992, 1993	3/13
221104-01	KENNER	5/16/1995	1,462,875.15	Grants		1991, 1993,	
221114-02	KENNER	12/10/2009	22,000,000.00	Grants	*	2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2013	1/10
221860-01	KENNER	11/1/2012	21,000,000.00	Grants	*	2012, 2014	
221455-01	KILLIAN	1/15/2010	110,777.00	Grants		ARRA	2/10
221214-01	LAFOURCHE PARISH	12/18/2009	999,643.07	Grants		ARRA	1/10
221014-01	LAFOURCHE SEWER DISTRICT NO. 1	1/15/2009	1,750,000.00	Grants		2004, 2005	2/09
221095-01	LAKEARTHUR	5/19/1993	2,442,416.87	Grants		1989, 1990, 1991	
221137-01	LAKE ARTHUR	2/16/1996	480,034.60	Grants		1992, 1993	
221215-01	LAKE CHARLES	6/23/2011	21,000,000.00	Grants	*	2008, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013	3/11
221887-01	LAKE PROVIDENCE	3/20/2014	650,000.00	Grants	*	2012, 2014	2/14
221110-01	LEESVILLE	2/16/1996	4,710,000.00	Grants		1992, 1993, 1994	
221111-01	LEESVILLE	12/23/2009	849,999.63	Grants		ARRA	1/10
221435-01	LIVONIA	5/22/2012	3,700,000.00	Grants		2010, 2011, 2012, 2013	3/12
221118-01	LOCKPORT	11/16/1995	1,770,000.00	Grants		1991, 1992, 1993	
221120-01	LOCKPORT	3/14/2013	4,000,000.00	Grants	*	2010	2/13
221620-01	LOGANSPORT	2/28/2012	1,135,000.00	Grants		2010, 2011, 2012	2/12
221011-01	LUS IN LAFA YETTE	8/22/1996	18,113,260.25	Grants		1992, 1993, 1994, 1995 ,1996, 2000, 2003	
221032-01	LUTCHER	1/20/2006	83,390.00	Repayments			
221725-01	MADISON PARISH	1/22/2010	104,421.83	Grants		ARRA	2/10
221520-01	MAMOU	12/18/2009	902,699.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
221520-01	MAMOU	12/18/2009	89,156.49	Admin Forgiveness	1		
221100-01	MANSFIELD	1/30/1992	2,067,468.13	Grants		1988, 1989, 1990	
221138-01	MANSFIELD	8/30/1996	345,383.40	Grants	1	1992, 1993	4/40
221238-01 221019-01	MANSFIELD MANSURA	12/23/2009	1,214,621.56	Grants		ARRA	1/10
221019-01	MANSURA	12/15/2009	1,269,553.45	Grants Grants	*		
221020-01 221555-01	MANY	8/6/2013	1,010,000.00 250,986.60	Grants		2010, 2012, 2013 ARRA	4/13 2/10
221333-01 221425-01	MANI	12/18/2009	300,000.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
221425-01 221426-01	MARINGOUIN	12/18/2009	475,000.00	Grants	*	2010, 2012	1/13
221735-01	MARINOODIN	1/7/2010	288,424.00	Grants		ARRA	2/10
221755-01	MARRYVILLE	12/23/2009	966,038.09	Grants		ARRA	1/10
221250-01	MERRYVILLE	12/23/2009	33,961.91	Admin Forgiveness			
221007-01	MONROE	7/1/1999	2,351,550.78	Grants		1997, 1998, 1999	
221007-02	MONROE	6/13/2001	27,000,000.00	Repayments			
221007-03	MONROE	12/6/2002	10,000,000.00	Grants		2000, 2001, 2003	
221007-04	MONROE	6/7/2005	11,000,000.00	Repayments			
221007-05	MONROE	1/28/2009	14,000,000.00	Grants	*	2005, 2006, 2010, 2012	1/09
221007-06	MONROE	9/12/2013	11,700,000.00	Repayments	*		4/13
221365-01	MORGAN CITY	8/25/2010	3,766,000.00	Grants	*	2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011	4/10
221003-01	NATCHITOCHES	5/24/1991	2,774,559.23	Grants		1988	
221003-02	NATCHITOCHES	4/15/1992	7,000,000.00	Grants		1988, 1989	
221003-04	NATCHITOCHES	12/29/1992	3,126,255.13	Grants		1989, 1990, 1991	
221003-03	NATCHITOCHES	4/14/1993	4,768,321.43	Grants		1989, 1990	
221155-01	NATCHITOCHES	7/20/1999	1,500,000.00	Grants		1997, 1998, 1999, 2000	
221160-01	NATCHITOCHES	1/7/2010	1,183,000.00	Grants		ARRA	2/10
221099-01	NEW IBERIA	12/21/1995	7,000,000.00	Grants		1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998	
21099-02	NEW IBERIA	9/17/1999	3,000,000.00	Grants		1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2003	
221099-03	NEW IBERIA	9/24/2004	10,000,000.00	Repayments			
221099-04	NEW IBERIA	10/11/2005	3,850,625.10	Repayments			
221316-01	NEW IBERIA	9/19/2013	6,497,000.00	Grants	*	2010, 2012, 2013, 2014	4/13

A. Section 212	Publicly Owned Treatment Works Projects Lo	ans Giosea			Open	1	·
Project #	Borrower	Date	Loan Amount	Funding Source	Loan	Federal Funding Year	federal qtr
221029-01	NEW LLANO	8/30/1996	880,920.35	Grants		1992, 1993, 1994	
221090-01	NEW ORLEANS SEWER & WATER BOARD	11/22/2011	9,000,000.00	Grants	*	2010, 2011, 2012,2013	1/12
21440-01	NEW ROADS	6/9/2011	1,000,000.00	Grants	*	2008, 2010, 2011, 2012	3/11
21180-02	OAKDALE	1/28/2010	1,000,000.00	Grants		ARRA	2/10
21180-01	OAKDALE	11/10/2010	3,146,000.00	Grants		2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012	1/11
21580-01	OIL CITY	12/23/2009	700,000.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
21096-01	OPELOUSAS	3/29/1996	999,995.80	Grants		1992, 1993, 1994, 1995	
21096-02	OPELOUSAS	12/10/1998	1,000,000.00	Repayments			
21096-03	OPELOUSAS	2/25/1999	3,850,898.62	Grants		1996, 1997 ,1998, 1999, 2000	
221096-04	OPELOUSAS	8/25/2000	5,000,000.00	Grants		1999, 2000, 2001, 2004, 2005	
221096-05	OPELOUSAS	10/23/2003	2,044,885.40	Grants		2001, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006	
221196-01	OPELOUSAS	12/18/2009	1,187,999.18	Grants		ARRA	1/10
221228-01	PINEVILLE	11/19/2010	4,500,000.00	Grants	*	2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014	1/11
221585-01	PLAQUEMINE	6/9/2010	1,500,000.00	Grants		2005, 2007, 2009, 2011, 2012	3/10
221586-01	PLAQUEMINE	12/20/2012	8,000,000.00	Grants	•	2011, 2012	1/13
221405-01	PLEASANT HILL	12/15/2009	609,553.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
221002-01	PONCHATOULA	10/3/1991	2,398,923.87	Grants	1	1988, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992	
221135-01	PORT ALLEN	4/22/1998	1,236,122.74	Grants		1995, 1996, 1997, 1998	
221134-01	PORT ALLEN	10/1/2010	1,900,000.00	Grants		2006, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011	1/11
221046-01	RAYNE	5/24/1996	6,450,000.00	Grants		1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999	
221001-01	RUSTON	6/1/1990	3,204,746.83	Grants		1988, 1989	1
221001-02	RUSTON	9/21/1993	1,432,535.20	Grants		1990, 1991, 1992	
221162-01	RUSTON	1/9/2006	18,003,606.60	Grants	_	2003, 2004, 2005, 2006	
221112-02	SHREVEPORT	9/23/2002	13,000,000.00	Grants		2000, 2001, 2003, 2004, 2005	
221112-03	SHREVEPORT	5/29/2003	15,999,906.22	Grants		2000, 2001, 2003, 2004, 2005	
221112-04	SHREVEPORT	9/4/2003	50,000.00	Grants		2001	
221112-05B	SHREVEPORT	2/11/2004	12,151,000.00	Repayments			
221112-05	SHREVEPORT	2/11/2004	95,372.00	Grants		2001	
221112-04B	SHREVEPORT	3/31/2005	4,904,000.00	Repayments		2011	
221112-01	SHREVEPORT	3/3/2010	24,999,999.82	Repayments			
221115-01	SHREVEPORT	6/24/2010	11,560,000.00	Grants	*	2005, 2010, 2013	3/10
221870-01	SHREVEPORT	11/1/2013	5,000,000.00	Grants	*	2013, 2014	1/14
221330-01	SICILY ISLAND	11/6/2009	523,003.29	Grants		ARRA	1/10
221780-01	SIMMESPORT	6/16/2011	235,998.00	Grants		2008, 2010, 2011, 2012	3/11
221265-01	SIMSBORO	1/7/2010	105,375.26	Grants		ARRA	2/10
221265-01	SIMSBORO	1/7/2010	86,767.43	Admin Forgiveness			
221136-01	SLIDELL	5/28/1997	3,898,176.88	Grants		1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1999	
221136-02	SLIDELL	3/25/2004	4,100,000.00	Grants		2003	
221044-01	SPRINCHILL	6/23/1995	6,279,304.37	Grants		1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998	
221027-01	ST. CHARLES PARISH	6/24/1994	6,300,000.00	Grants		1990, 1991, 1992	
221139-01	ST. CHARLES PARISH	11/19/1997	17,000,000.00	Grants		1994, 1995, 1996, 1998, 1999	
221139-02	ST. CHARLES PARISH	7/24/1998	24,000,000.00	Grants		1996, 1997, 1998, 1999	
221140-01	ST. CHARLES PARISH	8/25/2010	6,500,000.00	Grants	*	2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2014	4/10
221445-01	ST. FRANCISVILLE	9/23/2010	794,352.29	Grants		2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012	4/10
221655-02	ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST	10/24/2012	1,359,000.00	Grants	*	2010, 2012, 2013	4/12
221113-01	ST. MARTINVILLE	9/21/1993	2,395,682.54	Grants		1990, 1991, 1992	
221122-01	ST. MARY SEWER DISTRICT 5	2/25/1994	807,805.36	Grants		1990, 1991	
221212-02	ST. TAMMANY PARISH	4/21/2010	1,000,000.00	Grants	*	2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011	3/10
221141-01	ST. TAMMANY PARISH SEWER DIST #4	2/5/1999	735,656.79	Grants		1996, 1997, 1998, 1999	
221280-01	STERLINGTON	5/9/2011	696,000.00	Grants	*	2008, 2009, 2010, 2011	3/11
221281-01	STERLINGTON	8/28/2013	350,000.00	Grants	*	2013	4/13
221665-01	TENSAS PARISH	1/7/2010	546,909.64	Grants		ARRA	2/10
221490-01	TERREBONNE PARISH	9/23/2010	17,000,000.00	Grants	*	2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014	4/10
221492-01	TERREBONNE PARISH	10/1/2014	2,000,000.00	Grants	*	2013	1/15
221097-01	THIBODAUX	6/18/1997	2,283,827.27	Grants		1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1998	
221905-01	THIBODAUX	10/23/2013	8,510,755.00	Grants	*	2012, 2013, 2014	1/14
221285-01	URANIA	11/6/2009	1,489,950.78	Grants		ARRA**	1/10
221000-01	VINTON	6/1/1990	985,407.94	Grants		1988	
221245-01	VINTON	12/23/2009	1,200,000.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
221015-01	WALKER	6/8/2000	4,200,000.00	Grants		1999	
221015-02	WALKER	6/8/2000	300,000.00	Grants		1999, 2000	
221015-03	WALKER	12/31/2004	2,388,526.93	Repayments			
21016-01	WALKER	4/20/2011	675,141.20	Grants		2008, 2009, 2010, 2011	3/11
21525-01	WASHINGTON	12/18/2009	796,845.95	Grants		ARRA	1/10
21047-01	WELSH	10/25/1996	1,403,989.79	Grants		1993, 1994, 1995	
21048-01	WELSH	12/23/2009	190,000.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
21430-01	WEST BATON ROGUE PARISH	12/16/2010	2,000,000.00	Grants	*	2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2014	1/11
21390-01	WEST MONROE	11/6/2009	4,750,000.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
21390-02	WEST MONROE	5/19/2010	1,250,000.00	Grants		2005, 2006, 2007	3/10
21775-01	WEST OUACHITA SEWER DISTRICT #5	11/9/2011	1,918,000.00	Grants		2010, 2011, 2012, 2013	1/12
21170-01	WESTWEGO	8/17/2007	2,073,934.99	Grants		2004, 2005	4/07
21770-01	WESTWEGO	12/8/2011	1,150,000.00	Grants	*	2010, 2013, 2014	1/12
21625-01	WHITECASTLE	12/23/2009	679,580.76	Grants		ARRA	1/10
221023-01	WINNFIELD	4/26/2007	1,250,000.00	Grants		2004, 2005	
	WINNFIELD	12/23/2009	1,010,000.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
221176-01	WINN ILLE						

A. Section 212	2 Publicly Owned Treatment Works Projects Loa	uns Closed					
					Open		
Project #	Borrower	Date	Loan Amount	Funding Source	Loan	Federal Funding Year	federal qtr
9/19/2013	WINN PARISH	9/19/2013	275,000.00	Grants	*	2010, 2012, 2014	4/13
221615-01	WINNSBORO	12/23/2009	1,000,000.00	Grants		ARRA	1/10
221615-02	WINNSBORO	9/23/2010	998,942.13	Grants		2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011	1/11
221129-01	YOUNGSVILLE	10/9/2003	1,800,000.00	Grants		2001	
221129-03	YOUNGSVILLE	1/7/2010	750,000.00	Grants		ARRA	2/10
221129-02	YOUNGSVILLE	6/24/2010	4,429,000.00	Grants		2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012	3/10
221450-01	ZACHARY	2/28/2012	9,300,000.00	Grants	*	2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014	2/12
221452-01	ZACHARY	5/15/2014	5,000,000.00	Grants	*	2013, 2014	3/14
Subtotal - 212 Pr	rojects		23,552,942.13				Τ.
	2(d) Hardship Grant Project						
Project # 📃 🔻			Loan Amount	Funding Source 🔻	pen l 🔻	-	1
221151-01	Henderson	3/17/2000	1,121,190.00	Grant	1	Hardship Grant	<u> </u>
		ļ					
	Non-Point Source Project Loans						
Project # 🚽 🔻	Borrower	Date 🔻	Loan Amount	Funding Source	pen I 🔻	-	4
221755-01	GRAND ISLE	1/15/2010	749,991.00	Grants		ARRA	
TOTAL PROJEC	CTS		\$ 25,424,123.13				
		ļ					
*	Projects still in progress		1				
**	Project costs exceed ARRA allottment. Remainin	ng project will be fu	unded with DEQ Admini	strative Fund dollars			

ATTACHMENT 1: Project Priority System

## Attachment 1: LDEQ Clean Water State Revolving Fund Priority System

## Clean Water State Revolving Fund Priority System

## §2123. Introduction to the Clean Water State Revolving Fund Priority System

#### A. Introduction

I. On October 18, 1972, the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments became Public Law 92-500. PL 92-500 was amended by PL 95-217, the Clean Water Act of 1977, PL 97-117, the Municipal Wastewater Treatment Construction Grant amendments of 1981, and most recently by PL 100-4, the Water Quality Act of 1987.

2. The primary aim of the Federal Act is to "restore and maintain the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the nation's water." The Federal Act emphasizes the national goal that, wherever possible, water quality is to be suitable for recreational contact and for protection and propagation of fish and wildlife. In addition, the Federal Act emphasized the special need for controlling or eliminating discharges of toxic pollutants, and that the goals of the Federal Act be met through the control of both point and nonpoint sources of pollution. A permit program has been established and geared to restricting pollutant discharges from point sources – that is, from factories, municipalities, and large agricultural operations where pollutants enter the nation's water through an outfall pipe, sewer, or other conduit. The permit program has been expanded to include pollutants entering the nation's water through nonpoint sources – that is, stormwater runoff from municipalities, factories, agricultural operations, and other sources that do not require NPDES permits.

3. The Water Quality Act of 1987 added Title VI to the Clean Water Act, which provides for a program of low interest loans. Section 603(c) of the Federal Act states that "The amounts of funds available to each State water pollution control revolving fund shall be used only for providing financial assistance (1) to any municipality, inter-municipal, interstate, or state agency for construction of publicly owned treatment works (as defined in Section 212 of this Act), (2) for the implementation of a management program established under section 319 of this Act, and (3) for development and implementation of a conservation and management plan under Section 320 of this Act."

4. Section 603(g) of the Federal Act states that: "The State may provide financial assistance from its water pollution control revolving fund only with respect to a project for construction of a treatment works described in Subsection (c)(1) if such project is on the State's priority list under Section 216 of this Federal Act. Such assistance may be provided regardless of the rank of such project on such list." Section 603(g) of the Federal Act does not require that projects for the implementation of a management program established under Section 319 of the Federal Act, and for development and implementation of a conservation and management plan under section 320 of the Federal Act must be on the State's priority list to receive financial assistance, however, such projects will be included on the priority list and assigned priority ratings in accordance with LAC 33:IX.2123.C.7.

5. Section 603(c) of the Federal Act states that: "After providing for public comment and review, each State shall annually prepare a plan identifying the intended uses of the amounts available to its water pollution control revolving fund."

6. In implementing the requirements of the Federal Act, the department has derived the State of Louisiana CWSRF Priority System.

7. This system provides an orderly approach to preparing a priority list of publicly owned treatment works projects that meet the definition of section 212 of the Federal Act and provides for the selection of these and other eligible projects to be included on the Intended Use Plan (IUP) for each year.

B. List of Stream Subsegments and Subsegment Priority Numbers

I. The most effective use of water quality management assets in achieving state and national goals requires that management emphasis be directed at the most important problem areas first. The priority of management effort is intended to give more consideration to those areas where the most significant problems occur.

2. The state of Louisiana is divided into 12 water quality management basins which exhibit distinct hydrologic characteristics. Each designated basin is divided into stream segments and subsegments which exhibit common reactions to stresses, i.e., pollutants. The stream segmentation for Louisiana is contained in the state's areawide water quality management plans submitted under Section 208 of the Federal Act.

3. In order to provide the basis for directing the management effort for water quality, each stream subsegment is ranked according to its designated uses and the degree to which those designated uses are supported. The values from each of the category classifications, from Table B-I, are multiplied together to produce the stream subsegment priority number.

4. Information on designated uses and degree of support is taken from the latest approved Louisiana Water Quality Inventory: Integrated Report, which may be found on the department's website.

5. The stream priority list is used as the base for the later determination of the project priority ratings.

## TABLE B-1

#### SUBSEGMENT PRIORITY RANKING MULTIPLIERS

Designated Uses	Multiplier
Shellfish Propagation	20
Sole Source Drinking Water Supply	15
Outstanding Natural Resource	10
Primary Contact Recreation	5
Secondary Contact Recreation	5
Fish and Wildlife Propagation	5

Agriculture	5
Degree of Support	Multiplier
Not Supported	5
Partially Supported	4
Fully Supported but Threatened	3
Fully Supported	2

Formula:

C. List by Priority Rank

I. In conjunction with the priority of the stream subsegments of the state of Louisiana, each municipality that has requested consideration for funding is rated as to its ability to comply with the Federal Act. This rating of municipalities forms an important water quality management tool.

2. The priority rating for each municipality considered is composed of two factors, the stream subsegment priority rating and the municipality factor. These two numbers are added together to form the basic project priority rating.

3. The municipality factor is the summation of two factors: the category factor and the pollution reduction factor. The category factor depends on the age of a particular treatment system within a project area. Depending on the type of the system whether it is a treatment or collection, the factor can be obtained from Tables C-1 or C-2.

Age of treatment plant	0 to 5	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	Over 20
Type of treatment plant	years	years	years	years	years
Mechanical plant	2	4	6	8	10
Aerated lagoon	1	2	4	5	7
Stabilization pond	0	1	2	3	4
Other	0	0	1	1	2

Table C-1

Those projects with an existing collection system in need of rehabilitation or I/I correction will be awarded points from the Table C-2 based on the age of the collection system.

	Tal	ole	C-2
--	-----	-----	-----

Age of collection system	Points	
0 - 10 years	2	
11 - 20 years	4	
21 - 30 years	6	
31 - 40 years	8	
Over 40 years	10	

The Category Factor is the higher of the two values from Tables C-1 and C-2 and will be used calculating the municipality factor. The Pollution Reduction Factor is an indication of the ability to reduce the pollution discharged into the receiving waters, and it obtained from Table C-3.

Table	C-3
-------	-----

FUTURE LEVEL	SECONDARY	ADVANCED	ADVANCED	ADVANCED
OF TREATMENT		(BOD(20)	(20>BOD(10)	(BOD<10)
PRESENT TREATMENT LEVEL				
Raw (from existing outfall)	80	90	90	100
Less than Secondary	60	70	80	90
Secondary	20*	60	70	80
Advanced (BOD(20)	NA	20*	60	70
Advanced (20>BOD(10)	NA	NA	20*	60
Advanced (BOD<10)	NA	NA	NA	20*
Unsewered (no outfall)	30	40	50	60
N/A - Rehabilitation and/or I/I correction only				40
N/A- No reduction in pollution	20			

\* If no change to treatment but increase capacity, change 20 to 40

Formula:

Category Factor + Pollution Reduction Factor = Municipality Factor

4. A separate municipality factor shall be determined for each treatment facility and the collection system within its service area. When two or more treatment facilities will be included in a single project, regardless of whether they are in the same or different municipalities, the municipality factor for the project will be the weighted average, weighted on population served, of the municipality factors for all treatment facilities included in the project. For wastewater projects: Basic Project Priority Rating = Stream Subsegment Priority Rating + Municipality Factor.

5. The priority rating for a municipality may be re-evaluated and adjusted whenever new information is made available. Such information may result from facilities planning, water quality analysis, etc.

6. Any municipality may request a reevaluation of its priority rating. Any such requests should include the reasons that the municipality believes the priority rating to be in error.

7. Projects for the implementation of a management program established under Section 319 of the Federal Act, and for development and implementation of a conservation and management plan under Section 320 of the Federal Act will be assigned a Stream Subsegment Priority Number based on the stream subsegment that will be most impacted by the project. These projects will also be assigned an additional factor based on the ability of the project to reduce pollution in receiving waters. Since such projects may take numerous forms, this factor will be assigned by the department based on evaluation of individual project applications and will not exceed 100 points. The Basic Priority Rating for projects under sections 319 and 320 of the Federal Act are as follows:

Basic Project Priority Rating = Stream Subsegment Priority Rating + Additional Assigned Factor.

## D. CWSRF Priority List

1. Upon receipt of a request by the authorized representative of a municipality or an applicant, the basic priority rating will be determined and the proposed project will be placed on the CWSRF Priority List.

2. Request for inclusion on the CWSRF Priority List must include the following:

- a. for public entities, a resolution from the governing authority designating a project representative and authorizing him/her to submit pre-application materials;
- b. a completed Form RF-100; and
- c. a map of the proposed planning area.

3. It is the responsibility of each authorized project representative to maintain current and accurate information for his/her project, and to submit any revised or updated project information to the department each year which will be used to prepare the CWSRF Priority List.

4. The loan amount shown on the list will be the estimated amount of those items that are eligible for loan assistance. Eligibility of specific items will be based on the latest federal requirements in effect at the time the list is prepared. Should these requirements be changed subsequent to preparation of the list, all projects on the list will be adjusted accordingly. The monetary amount of each item will be based on the latest information supplied by the authorized project representative in accordance with Paragraph 2 of this Section.

5. Projects normally proceed in three steps: Facility Planning, Design, and Construction.

It is intended that projects that have completed Facility Planning and Design and are ready to begin construction will be considered for funding before projects that are not ready to begin construction. Projects will be awarded points in addition to the basic priority rating based on the readiness to proceed to construction in accordance with the following milestone:

Milestone	Points
Project has completed Facility Planning and planning documents have been approved	1000
Project has completed design and plans and specifications have been approved	2000

6. Large projects may be offered assistance in several phases based on a request by the authorized project representative, on a comparison of project cost and funds available, or on other factors that may require delayed funding for portions of a project. Each phase of a project will be listed separately on the CWSRF Priority List. All phases will have the same basic priority rating but each phase will have its own points awarded based on readiness to proceed.

7. The CWSRF Priority List will include all projects that have requested funding assistance, ranked in priority order, regardless of the amount of funds available. The priority list will be used for the later preparation of the CWSRF IUP.

8. The CWSRF Priority List will be made available for review each year, not less than 30 days prior to submission to the EPA. Each municipality that has submitted pre-application materials will be notified by letter of the availability of the CWSRF Priority List. It is the responsibility of each potential borrower to examine all the information listed for his/her project for accuracy. Any errors or omissions should be reported to the department prior to the deadline for comments.

9. Any project or project phase will be removed from the CWSRF Priority List once funding for the project or project phase has been provided through the CWSRF; or when the project or project phase has been constructed using another source of funds.

10. Any project that has had no written communication with the department for a period of five years and has presented no other evidence of progress toward completion of items that are prerequisites to funding during the five year period shall be deemed to be an inactive project and may be removed from the CWSRF Priority List. Prior to removal of an inactive project from the CWSRF Priority List the department will contact the project representative in writing to inform them of the impending removal of the project.

E. Intended Use Plan

1. An IUP is prepared for each state fiscal year and details the intended uses of amounts expected to be available to the CWSRF during the year. These intended uses will include loans for projects as well as other allowable uses of the Fund, including but not limited to repayment

of bonds issued by the Fund, loan guarantees or insurance for local obligations, and payment of allowable costs of administering the Fund.

2. On July 1 of each year, the Administrative Authority or his designated representative will determine the amount expected to be available for loans for projects for the current state fiscal year.

3. Of the amount expected to be available in accordance with Paragraph 2 of this Section, certain amounts will be reserved in accordance with Section F.

4. Projects must be included on the current CWSRF Priority List that has met public participation requirements and has been submitted to the EPA in order to be selected for the IUP. Projects on the proposed CWSRF Priority List may be selected for the proposed IUP provided that both the proposed Priority List and IUP meet public participation requirements and are accepted by the EPA.

5. Projects on the CWSRF Priority List will be selected to receive funds from the amount expected to be available in accordance with Paragraph 2 of this Section, less any reserves established in accordance with Section F, by considering projects in several categories for funding. All projects in a high priority category will be funded before any projects in a lower priority category are funded. Within each category projects will be considered for funding in order of the priority ranking of the individual project. The several categories that are considered are as follows:

- a. Projects that meet specific requirements of federal law. Where federal law requires that a percentage of capitalization grant funds must be used to fund certain categories of projects, projects meeting those requirements will be funded ahead of other projects until the required percentage of funds has been satisfied.
- b. Projects which are required for completion of an ongoing project for which at least one phase has already received funding through the CWSRF program, and that will not result in an operable treatment system without the additional funds. To qualify as an ongoing project, the work for which additional funds are required must be specifically identified within the scope of work for the project for which a Facilities Plan has been approved by the department.
- c. All other projects that do not meet either of the above conditions.

6. Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph 5 above, no project on the CWSRF Priority List will be placed on the IUP unless the municipality has submitted a Letter of Intent to the department stating the Municipality's intention to proceed with the project, and a project schedule that indicates that the Municipality will have completed all prerequisite program requirements and will be able to receive a loan during the fiscal year.

7. The CWSRF IUP is divided into two portions: a. the fundable portion and b. the planning portion. The fundable portion includes those projects expected to be awarded assistance during the fiscal year for which the list was prepared. The planning portion includes those project steps expected to receive funding during the next four fiscal years after the fiscal year of the list, based on the best available information on funds expected to be available.

8. The CWSRF IUP will be made available for inspection at the same time as the CWSRF Priority List each year, but not less than 30 days prior to submission to the EPA. Each

municipality or applicant that has submitted pre-application materials will be notified by letter of the availability of the IUP. It is the responsibility of each potential borrower to examine all the information listed for his/her project for accuracy. Any errors or omissions should be reported to the department prior to the deadline for comments. Applications for assistance which deviate substantially from the proposed project amount contained in the IUP might not be processed.

9. A project may be moved from the fundable portion of the list to the planning portion (bypassed) if it is determined that the project will not be ready to proceed during the funding year. Department staff will contact the project representative in writing to advise them of the impending bypass of the project and afford them an opportunity to present updated information that might avoid a bypass.

10. If cash flow of the program permits, either through project bypasses or the availability of additional federal capitalization funds, or if for any other reason it is determined by the department that additional funds are available for projects, projects from the planning portion of the list which are ready for funding and which have completed the priority list public participation requirements will be advanced to the fundable portion of the list. Individual projects will be advanced, in accordance with provisions of Paragraph 5 of this Section, until the available federal funding is consumed.

11. If insufficient projects meeting the criteria of Paragraph 6 of Section D for advancement from the planning to the fundable portion of the list are available to consume the additional funds, the department may prepare a revised list containing projects which have not met public hearing requirements; however, such projects will not be funded until public hearing requirements have been met.

12. If the actual amount available during the year is less than the projected amount expected to be available in accordance with Paragraph 2 above, and it is not possible to fund all projects on the fundable portion of the IUP, then, of the projects not yet funded, those projects selected last for inclusion on the IUP will be moved from the fundable portion to the planning portion until the remaining projects can be funded with the available funds.

13. If any project requests more funds than the amount listed on the IUP for that project, and granting the additional funds would result in insufficient funds for the remaining projects on the fundable portion, the additional funds will not be granted; but the project may request the additional funds be added to the fundable portion for the next fiscal year.

14. The CWSRF IUP will be submitted to the EPA for their review and acceptance as soon as possible after the public comment period has expired.

- F. Reserves Related to the IUP
  - 1. Reserves for State Management Assistance
    - a. The state may set aside a portion of the total funds available during the IUP year for use by the department in fulfilling its obligations to manage the CWSRF Program.
    - b. This reserve will be limited to the amount authorized by federal law as a percentage of each federal capitalization grant.
  - 2. Reserve for loans for Facilities Planning and Design

- a. The state may set aside a portion of the total funds available during the IUP year for loans to municipalities for Facilities Planning and Design.
- b. This reserve is limited to municipalities that meet all of the following conditions:
  - i. The construction portion of the project must appear within the five year planning portion of the IUP.
  - ii. The loan is to perform facility planning or design work that has not been previously funded.
  - iii. The applicant does not have the financial capability to complete facility planning and design work without financial assistance.

c. This reserve will be implemented only to the extent that the state deems necessary to provide assistance to municipalities that would be unable to complete Facilities Planning and Design work without assistance and that are expected to receive assistance for construction when Facility Planning and Design work are completed. This reserve may not exceed ten percent of the funds available in accordance with Paragraph 2 of Section E.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2011(D)(1).

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Water Resources, LR 17:342 (December 1987), amended by the Office of Environmental Assessment, Environmental Planning Division, LR 26:2551 (November 2000), amended by the Office of the Secretary, Legal Affairs Division, LR 31:2508 (October 2005), LR 33:2164 (October 2007).

# ATTACHMENT 2: Public Notice

#### PUBLIC NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that the Financial Services Division of the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) is applying for approval of the Clean Water State Revolving Loan Fund Program FY15 Intended Use Plan (IUP) and FY15 Project Priority List (PPL) from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Copies of the IUP which include the PPL are available at www.deq.louisiana.gov/cwsrf or by contacting the department at cwsrf@la.gov.

LDEQ will hold a public hearing on Thursday June 4, 2015 at 1:00 PM to hear public comment about the IUP and PPL. The public hearing will be held at the Galvez Building, 602 North 5th Street, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802 in Room 1019.



602 NORTH FIFTH STREET • BATON ROUGE, LA 70802

www.deq.louisiana.gov/cwsrf